



Victoria, B.C.

November 8-13, 1982

Le 8-13 novembre, 1982

minutes



SERVICES
Canadian Federation of Students • Fédération Canadienne des Étudiants

**Third semi-annual meeting
Troisième réunion semi-annuelle**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of those attending	i
CFS Central Committee & Staff	iv
CFS-Services Board & Staff	iv
Opening Plenary	
CFS Committee of the whole	1
Roll Call	1
<u>CFS-Services Opening plenary</u>	3
Roll call of members	3
Ratification of plenary chair	3
Announcement of proxies	4
Approval of agenda	4
Approval of conference schedule	4
Opening remarks	7
Approval of new members	7
Approval of conference minutes	8
Opening of nominations	8
Conference committees	9
Presentation of the board report	12
Announcements	14
Adjournment	14
<u>CFS Opening Plenary</u>	
Roll call of members	15
Ratification of plenary chair	15
Announcement of Proxies	15
Adoption of agenda	15
Ratification of new members	16
Adoption of Conference schedule	17
Welcoming remarks	17
Introduction of Central Committee & Staff	18
Plenary rules of order	18
CC report	18
Adoption of the minutes of the first AGM	18
Opening of nominations	18
Conference Committees	19
Adjournment	21
<u>CFS-S Closing Plenary</u>	
Call to order	22
Roll call of members	22
Proxies	22
Approval of conference minutes	22
Reports and Announcements	22
Finance committee report	22
Conference development cte report	23
Resource planning and project planning cte report	25
discount committee report	29
Rights committee report	30
Board reports, parts I,II	31
Conference development committee report	31
Organizational committee report	40
Policy committee report	43
Provincial caucuses	44

Board of directors meetings	46
Confirmation of acts	46
Financial statements	46
Appointment of auditors	46
Elections and grill session	47

AOSC Closing plenary

Call to order	50
Adoption of the agenda	50
Approval of minutes	50
Approval of auditors	50
Approval of acts	51
Ratification of executive	51
Ratification of CFS-S business	51
Adjournment	52

CFS-S Closing plenary

Elections and grill session	52
Adjournment	54

CFS Closing plenary

Call to order	55
Roll call of members	55
Approval of agenda	55
Closing of nominations and elections	55
Approval of conference minutes	58
Committee reports	
Policy committee report	59
Student assistance committee report	69
Campaign committee report	77
Finance committee report	82
Organizational development committee report	83
Women's Caucus	86
Constitution committee	88
Adjournment	91

Appendix I

Campaign committee report	
---------------------------	--

LIST OF THOSE ATTENDINGAcadia UniversityStudents Representative Council:

- . Steve Gaetz
- . Jennifer Meacher

University of AlbertaStudents Union:

- . Teresa Gonzalez
- . Tony Brouwer

Brandon UniversityStudents Union Inc.:

- . Andy Hutchinson
- . Scott Stewart

University of British ColumbiaAlma Mater Society:

- . Steven Learey
- . Lisa Hebert

University of CalgaryStudents Union:

- . Ken Bosman

Graduate Students Association:

- . Phyllis Carlyle
- . Igor Westra

Capilano CollegeStudents Union:

- . Jane Emaneul
- . Mark Rovner
- . Kevin Legge
- . Jeff Fawcett
- . Alex Briglio
- . Hugh Proulx

Carleton UniversityStudents Association:

- . David Desormeaux
- . Catherine Glen

Graduate Students Association:

- . Cate Kempton

Concordia UniversityStudents Association:

- . Mona Raineville

Confederation CollegeCouncil of Students:

- . Suzanne Pawson
- . Stephen Cox

Dalhousie UniversityStudents Union:

- . Susan McIntyre
- . John Russell
- . Peter Rans

Graduate Students Association:

- . Sunnil Dhar

Douglas CollegeStudent Society:

- . Lenka Russ
- . Alanna Whitley
- . Rudy van Berkel
- . Tim Shein

Emily Carr College of Art & DesignStudent Association:

- . Bill Zaitzow

University of GuelphCentral Student Association:

- . Charlie Dobbin
- . Denis Rawling

Grande Prairie Regional CollegeStudents Association:

- . Dale Cooper

Humber CollegeStudents Association:

- . John Marcocchio

Kelsey Institute of Applied Arts & SciencesStudents Association:

- . Eleanor Lappin

Kwantlen CollegeStudents Association:

- . Craig McNamee
- . Connie Kovalenko
- . Blair Cote
- . Rod McNish

Lakehead University
Students Union Inc.:
 . Susan Muirhead

Langara Community College
Kelowna Campus
Students Society:
 . Dave Bodwell
 . Karol Christensen

Laurentian University
Students General Association:
 . Joan Buchanon

University of Lethbridge
Students Union:
 . Josh Hawkes
 . Chuck Cosgrove

University of Manitoba
Students Union:
 . David Martin

McMaster University
Students Union Inc.:
 . Michael Appleby

Association of Graduate Students:
 . Monika Turner

Memorial University of
Newfoundland
Council of the Students Union:
 . Danny Breen
 . Ed Buckingham

Sir Wilfred Grenfell College
Memorial University of
Newfoundland
Students Association:
 . Kevin Bungay

Université de Moncton
Fédération des étudiants:
 . Erik Roy

Mount St. Vincent University
Student Union:
 . Leslie MacDonald

Nipissing College
Student Union:
 . Mike Boivin

Nova Scotia College of Art & Design
Student Union:
 . Carla Murray
 . Eric Walker

University of Prince Edward Island
Students Union:
 . Barb Rowe
 . Jennifer Acaca

Queen's University
Alma Mater Society:
 . Leanne MacMillan

Graduate Students Society:
 . David Clarke

University of Regina
Students Union:
 . Bernie Gruell
 . Una O'Reilly

Ryerson Polytechnical Institute
Students Union:
 . Kathy McIntyre

St. John's Trades & Technical College
Students Association:
 . Lorna Pike

St. Mary's University
Students Association:
 . Bruce Cooke
 . Charlie MacArthur

University of Saskatchewan
Students Union:
 . Laurie Pawlitza
 . Larry Retzlaff
 . Brent Swallow
 . Susan McDonald

Selkirk College
Students Society:
 . Barbara Tandory
 . Val Winthrope

Sheridan College
Student Senate:
 . Mary Ann Straw

Simon Fraser University

Student Society:

- . Pat Smith
- . John Knowles
- . Dave Erikson

University of Toronto

Students Administrative Council:

- . Gilaine Funnell
- . Tim van Wort

Trent University

Students Union:

- . Jeff Green
- . Teresa LeGrand

Vancouver Community College

Students Association:

- . Imtiaz Popat
- . Veronica Dole
- . Neville Cook
- . Mike Benzilli
- . Ken Sinitzin

Vancouver Vocational Institute

Students Association:

- . Mark Rogen

University of Victoria

Alma Mater Society:

- . Marc Fike
- . Terry Johnson
- . Charles Menzies

Wascana Institute of Applied Arts
& Sciences

Students Association:

- . Debbie Sarafin
- . Jody Elssen

University of Waterloo

Federation of Students:

- . Tom Allison
- . Mike Ferrabee
- . Julie George

University of Western Ontario

Society of Graduate Students:

- . Don Nicholson

University of Winnipeg

Students Association:

- . Gaylene van Dusen
- . Mike Shnier
- . Brian Cochran

University of Windsor

Students Administrative Council:

- . Jim Boyer
- . Dave Laird
- . Joe Pavelich

York University

Student Union:

Maurice Bevilaeque

Atkinson College

York University

Students Association:

- . Jessie May Roundtree

Canadian Union of Education Workers

- . Kevin Maloney

Canadian University Press

- . Julie Wheelright
- . Glen Sandford

CFS-Pacific Region

- . Phil Link
- . Gordon Moore
- . Cindy Shore

Federation of Alberta Students

- . Don Millar

CFS-Saskatchewan

- . Al Shpyth

CFS-Ontario

- . Peter Hoy
- . Richard Balnis
- . Helena Mitchell

CFS-S.U.N.S.

- . Eric Walker

CFS-Services

Board of Directors

- . Alex Daschko
- . Terry Palmer
- . Richard Brook
- . John MacDougall
- . Charmaine Schenstead
- . Dawn Noyes
- . Mark Rovner
- . Laurie Pawlitza
- . Tim Rigby
- . Andy Knight
- . Mike Chrystal
- . Donna Hardy

CFS Central Committee

- . Brenda Côté
- . Steve Quigley
- . Gilaine Funnell
- . Catherine Glen
- . Bob Wright
- . Rod Kelley
- . Donna Morgan
- . Teresa Gonzalez
- . Al Shypth
- . Jeff O'Malley
- . Kent Darling
- . Christine Soucie
- . Ed Buckingham
- . Charmaine Schenstead
- . Dawn Noyes

CFS-Services Staff

- . David Jones
- . Rod Hurd
- . Robin Benitz

CFS National Staff

- . Diane Flaherty
- . Eileen Dooley
- . Yvette Diepenbrock
- . Bruce Tate
- . Paul Post
- . Mike McNeil
- . Evan Thornton

Conference Chairperson

- . Brian MacDonald

Conference Staff

- . Deborah Poff
- . Heather Gibbs

Minutes: Opening Plenary
Committee of the Whole

Charmaine Schenstead opened the assembly to discuss the agenda and explained the procedures surrounding the opening plenaries of CFS-S and CFS. Simultaneous translations are available.

Alex Daschko proceeded with a Roll Call for the meeting of the committee of the whole.

Present were: Simon Fraser University
University of British Columbia
University of Victoria
Emily Carr College
Capilano College
VCC Langara
VCC King Edward
Vancouver Vocational Institute
Douglas College
Kwantlin College
Cariboo College
Northern Lights College
Northwest Community College
Kelowna College
Selkirk College
David Thompson University
East Kootenay College
University of Alberta
University of Calgary
University of Lethbridge
Mt. Royal College
Lethbridge Community College
Grand Prairie College
University of Alberta Grads
University of Calgary Grads
University of Saskatchewan
University of Regina
Wascana Institute of Applied Arts and Sciences
Kelsey Institute
University of Winnipeg
University of Brandon
Lakehead University
Algoma University College
University of Ottawa
Carleton University
Trent University
Queen's University
University of Toronto SAC

York University
University of Guelph
McMaster University
Brock University
University of Western Ontario USC
Nipissing University
Centennial College
Sir Sanford Fleming College
Fanshawe College
McMaster UGS
Queen's University GSS
University of Toronto GSU
University of Western Ontario SOGS
St. Clair College
University of New Brunswick, St John's
Universite de Moncton
Mt. Allison University
St. Mary's University
Dalhousie University
Mt. St. Vincent
Nova Scotia College of Art and Design
University College of Cape Breton
Kings College
University of PEI
Memorial University of Newfoundland
Atkinson College

applied for prospective or full membership:

Laurentian University
University of Waterloo
Ryerson Polytechnic Institute
University of Windsor
Ontario College of Art
Sheridan Oakville
Lambton College

Alex Daschko asked that all non members be allowed to participate fully in the committee of the whole. There were no objections.

Brian MacDonald was introduced as the person recommended to Chair the committee meeting and the plenaries.

MOTION: to ratify Brian MacDonald as Chair of the committee of the whole

Fanshawe/Kwantlen

CARRIED

The Chair noted the proposed agenda of the week and pointed out the need for a complete agenda for the plenaries.

Robert's Rules of Order were invoked.

MOTION: to adopt the presented conference agenda
 Ryerson/Saskatchewan

CARRIED

The committee of the whole was adjourned.

O P E N I N G P L E N A R Y
FIRST ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING - CFS-S

The meeting was called to order.

1. Roll Call of Members

Quorum was present.

Observer status was granted to Kampison College, the College of Trades and Technology, St John's, Acadia University.

It was noted that Kwantlen College and David Thompson University Centre are prospective, not full members.

2. Ratification of Plenary Chair

MOTION: BIRT Brian MacDonald be appointed CFS-S
 conference chair.

Fanshawe/Selkirk

Ryerson called the question after some discussion. The question was put to the vote.

CARRIED

Queen's GSS asked that points of information be granted. The Chair indicated that he would rule on them as they came up.

3. Announcement of Proxies

The Chair had received notice of proxy from David Thompson Student Society, appointing Selkirk as their proxy. University of Toronto SAC would hold the vote for Fanshawe College. Humber's proxy from Lambton was phoned in.

MOTION: to accept Lambton's phone-in proxy to
Humber College

VVI/University of Toronto SAC

CARRIED

Finally, Queen's AMS proxy was given to Queen's GSS. The proxy procedure was explained by the Chair.

4. Approval of Agenda

MOTION: to adopt the agenda

Fanshawe/U of T SAC

AMENDMENT: to add 9c. CFS-S Finance Committee

Kwantlen/VCC King Edward

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION: CARRIED

5. Approval of Conference Schedule

MOTION: to adopt the conference schedule

VCC King Edward/Kwantlen

AMENDMENT: to switch Wednesday afternoon's schedule
and Tuesday afternoon's schedule.

Laurentian/Douglas College

Laurentian felt the Issues Overview should better prepare the committees for discussion.

Queen's GSS asked why the conference schedule was so radically altered between the time he first received it and his arrival at the conference.

It was explained that the CC and Board wanted to unify the membership. They took the directives of the Development Committee, May 1982. More time for discussion was needed and more input from delegates. A better information flow would hopefully result from the integration of CFS and CFS-S activities in the new schedule. As well, the agenda better reflected the priorities of the organization. Committees also needed more time.

The conference Committees would be: Budget, CFS; Campaign; Organizational Development; Resource Project and Program Planning; Student Assistance; International Issues; Conference Development; Rights; Discount Program Development; Policy; Referenda; Newsletter; and Resolutions.

Committee membership was discussed. The Sexual Harassment Grievance Committee and Minority Rights Grievance Committee were mentioned.

Further explanations of the Committee activities and other aspects of the new agenda were given. It was felt to be more democratic by providing more time for feedback.

Regina felt that the richer schools, having more delegates, have more say in what will happen.

U of T SAC pointed out that the CC had worked long and hard on solving problems and felt the new schedule should be given a chance.

CFS-O asked for a clarification of the amendment. The vote was then taken.

CARRIED

Ryerson wished to discuss the size of committees. He pointed out that the large number of committees would work against the smaller schools.

Atkinson asked to have the Women's Caucus Report be added to the General Assembly Tuesday morning.

Lethbridge addressed the ramifications of committee size. It was pointed out that there could be only one voting member per institution in each committee.

Atkinson was unhappy to be obliged to serve only on the Rights Committee. She resented being excluded from other areas where part-time issues should be discussed.

MOTION: to extend debate

VVI/Victoria

CARRIED

King Edward wished to address the issue of institution size and democracy. He saw the solution to be involvement in provincial caucuses.

Kwantlen felt a committee to discuss CFS-S Finances should be struck. This would be discussed later.

Winnipeg thought that the improved information flow provided by this schedule would also help solve the question of small institutions and democracy.

CFS-O asked for a clarification of committee and workshop procedure.

These would be left up to the chairs of individual workshops and committees.

The improvements in this system were outlined, and the concerns expressed were addressed in these improvements.

U of T SAC asked Alex Daschko about the logistical problems with switching Tuesday and Wednesday afternoons.

There would be a good number of problems associated with the change. These were outlined to the satisfaction of the membership.

MOTION: to reconsider the amendment

Winnipeg/Alberta

U of T SAC moved to call the question, seconded by Calgary. The vote was put.

CARRIED

University of Alberta spoke against the amendment and asked that the main motion be voted on in a package.

Waterloo felt that reconsidering was a waste of time and pointed out that the Board's information on logistical problems should have been brought up during the first debate.

The question was called by U of T SAC and U of Saskatchewan. The vote on the amendment was taken.

AMENDMENT: DEFEATED

The Chair was requested to ask for abstentions.

U of T SAC moved to call the question on the main motion, seconded by Victoria. This was carried and the vote was taken.

MAIN MOTION: CARRIED

Atkinson asked that voting rights be explained.

Only those retaining full or prospective memberships in CFS could vote in this plenary. General Assembly and committee rights were also explained.

6. Opening Remarks

Alex Daschko introduced Eric Hargreaves, President of University of Victoria, and host of this conference.

Eric welcomed the delegates and provided them with some local trivia and other useful information about the campus.

Alex emphasized the need for hard work and growth in the organization.

He then introduced the CFS-S Board and Staff. He hoped the delegates would take the opportunity to talk with the Board and Staff about the organization.

7. Approval of New Members

MOTION: to accept Simon Fraser University, Ontario College of Art and Ryerson Polytechnic Institute as full members of CFS-S

Lethbridge/Alberta

CARRIED

MOTION: to accept the following as prospective members of CFS-S: Laurentian University, Sheridan Oakville College, Lambton College, University of Waterloo and University of Windsor.

U of T SAC/Saskatchewan

Waterloo read a letter from his council to the plenary. This letter, addressed to Diane Flaherty, stated that Waterloo students wished to take out prospective membership. However, Waterloo was uncertain about membership in CFS-S and/or CFS-O. The delegate felt that the Council was aware of the necessity of congruent membership and hoped that delegates would keep that in mind.

Ryerson pointed out that Waterloo is not asking for membership in CFS-S, and is therefore not asking for membership in CFS. In light of this, the following motion was presented.

AMENDMENT: to delete Waterloo from the list

Ryerson/Calgary

Brenda Cote suggested referring this question to the Constitution Committee.

Kwantlen supported the amendment and agreed with Brenda, adding that the Policy Committee should also be consulted.

Waterloo explained the intent of the council's decision and hoped that the Constitution Committee would deal with it.

Ryerson moved to call the question, with Calgary seconding. This motion was carried and the vote was put.

AMENDMENT: CARRIED

MAIN MOTION: CARRIED

8. Approval of Conference Minutes

MOTION: to adopt the minutes of the founding convention

Memorial/Capilano

MOTION: to table the item until the final plenary

VVI/UBC

CARRIED

MOTION: to table the adoption of the minutes of the semi-annual meeting until the final plenary

VVI/King Edward

CARRIED

9. Opening of Nominations

Brian Bedford was introduced as the Chief Returning Officer for both plenaries.

The two positions open are those of Chairperson and Treasurer.

MOTION: to open nominations for Chairperson and Treasurer of CFS-S

U of T SAC/Capilano

CARRIED

MOTION: to close nominations at the opening of the final plenary

McMaster/Memorial

CARRIED

9b. Conference Committees

The Chairpersons, Resource people and Committees were introduced.

Resource Project, Planning Andy Knight
 & Priorities Cmte Rod Kelly
 David Jones

Andy explained that the committee looked at the development potential for existing programs and new programs.

MOTION: to recess for five minutes

Lethbridge/Capilano

CARRIED

After the recess, the Chair went over and clarified some rules of order. The business of the meeting resumed with the introduction of the Discount Committee

Discount Cmte Marc Rovner

Marc went over the object of the committee, which was essentially to make the Youthsaver program more serviceable.

Charmaine asked for explanations regarding the proposed Finance Committee.

Kwantlen explained how the CFS Finance Committee worked. CFS-S, he felt, needed a similar committee to provide direction for the organization. He proposed the following motion.

MOTION: BIRT a CFS-S Finance Committee be struck to review and report and make recommendations on the functioning of and improvements to the CFS Services budget and CFS-S financing.

Kwantlen/UBC

A suggestion was made to amalgamate this motion into the function of the CFS Finance committee.

Alberta asked Alex Daschko why the Board were the only ones to participate in financial activities.

Alex explained that the Board regularly presented the Budget in a workshop, where it could be discussed and amended. He highlighted the need for a budget process and hoped that this is what would be concentrated on. The CFS Finance Committee could not be expected to have to deal with both organizations.

Winnipeg agreed with Alex and put forward an amendment.

AMENDMENT: to delete "and CFS financing"

Winnipeg/Saskatchewan

Rich Brooks, co-chair of the CFS Finance Committee spoke in favour of the amendment. He felt the workload would be unreasonable if it failed.

The CFS-S Finance Committee would be an ad hoc committee, it was explained.

Kwatlen reasoned that the motion to keep two separate committees would promote discussion. The key question to be addressed is whether the Finance Committee is accountable to the Services Board or to the membership.

SMU thought the terms of reference should deal with the actual budget allocations as well as the system of allocation.

The Board pointed out that they do represent the membership.

Memorial expressed the faith they have in their provincial representative on the Board. He asked that the discussion of terms of reference be deferred to the CFS-S Finances Workshop.

Alex stressed the need for a new process to deal with finances.

Lethbridge ("We are FAS and FAS is us.") hoped that this motion was not perceived as one of non-confidence in the Board. It is simply an attempt to democratize the budget process.

CARRIED

Kwantlen reiterated Lethbridge's arguments, emphasizing the need for membership control of CFS-S budgeting and finances.

Terry Palmer, CFS-S Treasurer, admitted his confusion with the membership's wishes and motives. He asked for more direction.

Waterloo noted the budget system already in place. He was worried about the effects of changing the system. He hoped for increased participation with an eye towards these effects.

U of Calgary spoke in favour of the motion. Because the CFS-S budget is the largest financial component of the organization, democratic control is essential to determine the priorities of that organization. An ad hoc committee in no way infringes on the Board's activities or trustworthiness.

King Edward emphasized the need for input by members.

CARRIED

Dawn Noyes pointed out that a chairperson would be appointed and announced tomorrow. There was also a need to strike the three services conference committees.

Alberta read their upcoming motion.

MOTION: BIRT caucuses and constituency groups may transfer their votes to a member outside of their caucus or constituency group for a particular committee on which the caucus or constituency group would otherwise not be represented.

Alberta/Grand Prairie

Alberta pointed out that, due to the large number of committees, all areas should be represented in spite of their size.

Winnipeg asked if one person could represent two groups. This would be the case. She felt that a limit should be placed on the number of votes a person could carry.

AMENDMENT: to add "One person may not hold more than one proxy."

Winnipeg/Capilano

Ryerson moved to call the question on this amendment,,seconded by University of Alberta. This was carried.

Regina wasn't sure if giving proxy votes was going to help. She hoped that this would not set a bad precedent.

It was pointed out that no precedent would be set.

MAIN MOTION: CARRIED

Carleton rose on a point of order to ask that all delegates refer to the "Chair" or "Chairperson" rather than "Chairman".

This was agreed to.

MOTION: to establish the Resource Project and
Program Planning Cmte

Ryerson/Capilano

CARRIED

MOTION: to establish the Discount Program Committee

Ryerson/Capilano

Waterloo asked where this fits in with the other committee discussing discounts.

Alex responded that the Discount card itself deserves attention on its own because of its importance to the organization.

CARRIED

11. Presentation of the Board's Report

Alex reminded the delegates that the Board of Director's Report, Part I, was already in print with Part II soon to follow.

These reports are a reference guide and will be discussed during the week.

Alex described Part I as an overview of what the Board had been doing this year. Part II is an in-depth evaluation of these activities.

He pointed out the Board's difficulty with the transitional bureaucracy between AOSC and CFS-S. He was happy to report that the organization was now entirely CFS-S, except in name.

The Board had received a mandate for stability and improvement in existing programs. This, he noted, was taken very much to heart.

Youthsaver is the most high-profile service CFS-S offers. Alex explained the progress in the Discount Program and emphasized its success. The problems would be discussed during the workshop.

CUTS Travel experienced a surprisingly good year. Two new branches, at McGill and Victoria, were opened and business increased.

SWAP has also met with increased demand and lobbying of the government for relaxed student immigration laws has taken place.

Alex pointed out that CPS was in the process of overcoming its previous difficulties of cost and usage.

The Student Council Directory, as usual, was a huge success.

It was hoped that a budget process format would be drawn up to provide the organization with financial stability.

Alex decided not to go into too much detail about the Board Report, Part II.

The Chair refused to grant the floor to a delegate who interrupted Alex. He challenged the Chair.

CHALLENGE: shall the ruling of the chair be upheld?

THE CHAIR IS UPHELD

Alex pointed out that it was not his intention to insult the plenary by giving the Board's Report rather than having the delegates only read it.

The Board felt the outlined objectives have been met and CFS-S can afford to expand its services. Ideas such as the Data Bank will be considered.

He discussed some of the problems that had come up and re-emphasized the need for expansion.

VVI asked if the Board report had been sent out in the Information Kit and, if so, were there extra copies to be distributed. The matter was arranged.

12. Announcements

Mike Crystal addressed the plenary regarding the UPEI Fire Fund. He presented a preliminary cheque for \$300 to UPEI.

Alex pointed out that Sir Wilfred Grenfell is a prospective member of CFS and should be noted as such.

13. Adjournment

MOTION: to adjourn the plenary of CFS-S until November 13th, at 9:00 a.m.

King Edward/Guelph

CARRIED

OPENING PLENARY
CFS SEMI ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

Brenda Cote called the meeting to order.

1. Roll Call of Members

Quorum was present

2. Ratification of Conference Chairperson

MOTION: to ratify Brian MacXonald as conference chair
King Edward/Guelph

Victoria felt the chairperson has not run the meeting efficiently as yet.

Catherine Glen pointed out that Brian had not been oriented to CFS procedures and felt he should be given a chance.

VVI noted that the nominee was not empathetic to the wishes of the plenary and should not be ratified.

Memorial thought the plenary was wasting its time.

Kwantlen rose on a point of personal privilege to ask that people not rap on desks.

Alberta spoke against the Chair because of a lack of knowledge of Robert's Rules of Order.

Queen's GSS pointed out that Robert's Rules were being followed and spoke in favour of the Chair.

The vote was put.

CARRIED

3. Announcement of Proxies

The proxies that had been received and accepted were Selkirk for David Thompson, U of T SAC for Fanshawe, and Queen's GSS for Queen's AMS.

4. Adoption of Agenda

MOTION: to adopt the agenda
U of T SAC/Winnipeg

Ryerson asked for clarification of Item 14. It would deal with the list of committees.

CARRIED

5. Ratification of New Members

MOTION: BIRT the following schools be accepted as full members of CFS/FCE:
Ontario College of Art
Simon Fraser University
Ryerson Polytechnic insitute

King Edward/McMaster

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the following schools be accepted as prospective members of CFS/FCE:
Lambton College
University of Windsor
University of Waterloo
Sheridan Oakville College

U of Victoria/Queen's GSS

AMENDMENT: to delete Waterloo from the list

Ryerson/Regina

CARRIED

Laurentian requested that the Waterloo question be referred to the Constitutional Committee.

Waterloo wished to know their status.

Jeff O'Malley asked the plenary to simply address the main motion.

CARRIED

Waterloo suggested the Constitution Commitee address this question.

Kent Darling and Charmaine Schenstead pointed out that the constitution was explicit in regards to this matter.

VVI challenged the status of U of Alberta GSS as a voting member of the plenary.

MOTION: BIRT Waterloo be granted delegate status
from a non-member institution

VVI/Douglas

Charmaine Schenstead clarified what observer status is and what non-member institution delegates' rights are. She suggested Waterloo fell into the latter category.

Waterloo was insulted by this motion.

The motion was ruled out of order because it was redundant.

MOTION: to refer the letter from Waterloo to the
Constitutional Committee and the legal ramifications of the letter be reported to the
final plenary.

Calgary/Grand Priarie

Ryerson felt this was unnecessary because the by-laws are quite clear.

CFS-O also noted the clarity of the by-laws with respect to members being a part of all three components. Helena spoke against the motion.

DEFEATED

The Chair ruled that University of Alberta Grads were not eligible to vote.

6. Adoption of Conference Schedule

MOTION: to adopt the conference schedule as presented
Carleton/Sir Wilfred Grenfell

CARRIED

7. Welcoming Remarks

Brenda Cote welcomed the delegates. She acknowledged the first anniversary of CFS and emphasized their many successes.

She stressed the need for a unified voice and direction in CFS and looked at some of its priorities. A positive attitude will lead to positive action.

8. Introduction of CC and Staff

Brenda introduced the CFS/FCE Central Committee and Staff.

She announced the vacancies in the positions of Treasurer, Women's Commissioner, Saskatchewan Rep and New Brunswick Rep.

Helena Mitchell, CFS-O Chair and Richard Balnis, CFS-O researcher were introduced and thanked for their help.

9. Plenary Rules of Order

Brian stressed the use of Robert's Rules as outlined in Standing Resolution #2. He went over the general guidelines to be followed.

10. Report of the Central Committee

MOTION: to table the CC report until the final
plenary

VVI/Selkirk

Brenda noted that the CC reports are crucial to the Campaign Committee and recommended they be discussed in provincial caucuses. University of Victoria asked that the reports be addressed in the General Assembly.

CARRIED

11. Adoption of the Minutes of the First AGM in Chalottetown

MOTION: to table the adoption of the minutes to the
final plenary

King Edward/U of Calgary Grads

CARRIED

12. Opening of Nominations for Positions on the CC

Charmaine Schenstead announced the vacancies of the positions of Treasurer and Women's Commissioner (whose nomination would come from the Women's Caucus).

MOTION: to open nominations for Treasurer and
Women's Commissioner

Wascana/Winnipeg

CARRIED

MOTION: to close nominations as of the opening of the
conference plenary

VVI/Winnipeg

CARRIED

The Chief Returning Officer was Brian Bedford.

13. Conference Committees

The conference committees, resource people and chairs were
presented as follows:

Budget Committee	Bob Wright Rich Brooks Paul Post
Campaign Committee	Donna Morgan Mike Crystal Tim Feher
Organizational Development	Dawn Noyes Charmaine Schenstead Eileen Dolley
Student Assistance	Catherine Glen Tim Rigby
Conference Development	Rod Kelly Donna Handy
Rights Committee	Teresa Gonzales Alex Daschko
Policy Committee	Jeff O'Malley Tim Rigby Bruce Tate
Newsletter	Steve Shallhorn Brian Bedford
Resolutions Committee	Christine Souci
Referenda Committee	Kent Darling Andy Knight
Constitution Committee	Charmaine Schenstead

The Sexual Harassment Grievance Committee consisted of Dave Desormeaux, Leanne MacMillan and Phyllis Carlyle.

MOTION: to strike the conference committees

Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Carleton

Ryerson asked that a list of where to find these people be posted. This would be done.

AMENDMENT: BIRT a standing committee be established, called the National Education Policy Committee, with a mandate to develop a co-ordinated plan for post-secondary education in Canada to be the basis of CFS lobbying work and student action. Membership to be comprised of:

- one member of the CC
- one member of the CFS-S Board
- one member from each province,
- one member from each recognized constituency caucus
- one member from the Women's caucus

Ryerson/Laurentian

Ryerson explained the reasoning behind this motion, pointing to the section in the Declaration of Student Rights calling for a co-ordinated National Education Policy. CFS must be a progressive not a reactive organization.

Jeff O'Malley expressed concern over the lack of resources to implement such a committee and asked the plenary not to mandate the impossible.

Lethbridge spoke in favour of the motion. He felt at least an informal discussion must take place. By attacking individual issues rather than the whole problem, he pointed out, we play right into the governments' hands. This is a crisis situation.

Winnipeg also was in favour of this motion, which would generate ideas on the direction of education.

Concordia pointed out that students are a transient group. She noted that it would be irresponsible and undemocratic to make policies for future students. She felt that this would be an inefficient use of CFS/FCE resources. Specific issues can be dealt with effectively.

Carleton was hesitant to make relatively uninformed decisions on such an important issue. She was against the motion.

MOTION: to extend debate

Simon Fraser University/McMaster

DEFEATED ON A TIE VOTE

Ryerson asked if they could bring up relevant constitutional information after the closing of the speaker's list.

The Chair ruled they could not.

Laurentian challenged the Chair on this ruling, noting the sections of the constitution in question.

The Chair took this point of order under advisement.

Quorum was challenged and declared present.

AMENDMENT: CARRIED

Catherine Glen pointed out that the Research Department had been mandated to develop a National Education Policy. She felt that the newly-formed committee was ill-timed and would not be able to do the kind of work required. Not enough information was available.

MAIN MOTION: CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT caucuses and constituency groups may transfer their vote to a member outside of their caucus or constituency groups for a particular committee on which the caucus or constituency group would themselves not be represented.

Alberta/VVI

CARRIED

14. Adjournment

MOTION: to recess

Capilano/Simon Fraser

CARRIED

C L O S I N G P L E N A R Y C F S (S)

1. Call to Order

Brian MacDonald called the meeting to order and checked for quorum.

2. Roll Call of Members

Quorum was present.

3. Proxies

Kings College proxy was granted to Dalhousie. Queen's AMS left their voting rights to Queen's GSS, while Fanshawe left a proxy with U of T SAC. Selkirk held the proxy for David Thompson.

4. Approval of Conference Minutes

MOTION: to adopt the minutes from the founding conference

Laurentian/Queen's GSS

CARRIED

MOTION: to adopt the minutes of the First Semi-Annual General Meeting

Laurentian/Regina

CARRIED

5. Reports and Announcements

a. Finance Committee Report

Douglas College gave the report. He announced there would be four motions arising from this report. Further, he noted the committee had itemized the number of fixed expenses necessary to set up an Information Bank.

MOTION: to appoint Clarkson and Gordon as auditors for CFS-S/AOSC for the fiscal year of 1982/83.

Nipissing/VVI

CARRIED

MOTION: to accept the auditor's statements for the year ended June 30, 1982 for AOSC/CFS-S and CUTS Travel as presented.

Calgary/U of T SAC

CARRIED

MOTION: to accept the recommendations for budgetary and financial reporting, decision-making review as presented in the Treasurer's Report, part I.

Guelph/Calgary

CARRIED

MOTION: to accept the CFS-S Program Administration Budget as presented.

Saskatchewan/Ryerson

CARRIED

The Board informed the plenary of a review of the auditors that is currently taking place. The current auditor will have the opportunity to appear before the plenary should a change occur.

b. Discount Committee Report

MOTION: to table the Discount Committee Report

VVI/Alberta

CARRIED

c. Resource Planning and Project Planning Cmte Report

It was decided to review this report after the Discount Committee Report had been presented.

d. Conference Development Report

Alex Daschko suggested that the motions dealing with the Services Plenary be dealt with now. The plenary agreed and the first motion was put on the floor.

MOTION: WHEREAS disabled students should be able to participate equally in the student movement, and

WHEREAS the inaccessible institutions hinder participation,

TBIRT all future conferences be located on campuses which are fully accessible to the disabled.

U of Calgary/Lethbridge

It was pointed out that this was from the Alberta Caucus.

Lethbridge emphasized the importance of accessible conferences.

CARRIED

MOTION: WHEREAS nutrition is an integral part of an individual's ability to function rationally, and

WHEREAS conferences demand an ability to function rationally, and

WHEREAS vegetarians are not rabbits.

TBIRT CFS/FCE conferences provide adequate, edible meals to all delegates with special consideration for vegetarians and other special diets.

Nipissing/Wascana

CARRIED

e. Organizational Development Cmte Report

There was only one motion specifically pertaining to CFS-S put forward from a caucus.

MOTION: BIRT members of the Services Board and the Central Committee of the Canadian Federation of Students be from full member institutions.

There was much discussion as to whether or not the item should be dealt with at the time or later. The matter was put off.

MOTION: to recess for ten minutes

Emily Carr/Winnipeg

DEFEATED

f. Resource Planning and Project Planning Cmte Report

Blair Cote delivered the RPPP Committee report. The committee felt that services must be co-ordinated at the national level and be accessible and relevant to all campuses.

Other committee members went over the priorities for new services. The Information Bank, including a National Directory of Student Union Services and File Archives, should be the top priority. Another possible development might be Technical Consulting Services.

These programs require a firm commitment from all the components of CFS.

The motions from the RPPP Committee were presented.

MOTION: WHEREAS in the past, orientation and evaluation of the Students Work Abroad Program has been done at the national level.

TBIRT provincial representatives to the CFS-S Board of Directors begin to take a more active role in the orientation and evaluation of the SWAP program.

Lethbridge/Regina

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CPS improve its service by establishing a national alternative video service, and

BIFRT speaking tours be more accessible to small institutions through subsidies.

Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Nipissing

VVI wished to deal with each part of this motion separately.

MOTION: to divide the preceding motion

VVI/U Victoria

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CPS improve its service by establishing a national alternative video service.

Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Nipissing

VVI spoke against having the video service as a priority.

Calgary asked where the money to set up this service would come from. There is no provision for it in the budget.

The committee expressed the need for a study to look into the feasibility of this service. They took into account the question of funds.

Queen's GSS said this was not indicated in the wording of the motion.

The committee said this would be clarified in an upcoming motion.

DEFEATED

MOTION: BIRT speaking tours be more accessible to small institutions through subsidies.

McMaster UGS/U of T SAC

MOTION: to table

VVI/SMU

DEFEATED

Queen's GSS asked for clarification of the kind of subsidies.

VVI pointed out that this was specified in an upcoming motion.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS-S advise the Board of Directors to change the corporate name of CUTS to Travel Cuts, and

BIFRT all promotional materials and stationary have the CFS Services logo on them.

VVI/Regina

UBC asked for the rationale behind attaching the CFS-S logo to the promotional materials.

It was necessary, they felt, to identify CFS-S as the sponsor.

The plenary was asked how they liked the logo.

VVI felt that CFS should be the logo attached.

AMENDMENT: to delete "services" in the last BIRT
VVI/UBC

The need for unity of the organizations was emphasized.

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: WHEREAS CFS and CFS Services are democratic,
student run organizations, and

WHEREAS new programs have in the past been
designed and implemented without direct in-
volvement of, and complete understanding
by the membership.

TBIRT before any new program is implemented by
CFS Services, a written strategy and planned
report, prepared by the Board, be presented
for discussion and ratification by the mem-
bership at a general meeting, and

BIFRT the report include the following: the
benefits of the program to students (of diverse
groups and needs), the cost and availability
of funding, the short-term and long-term
implications to CFS Services and its member-
ship, the logistics of implementation and
additional requirements such as staff and
the framework by which the service would oper-
ate, along with any other information that would
enable the membership to assess the feasibility
of the proposed service or program.

Lethbridge/Regina

Andy Knight and Rich Brook spoke strongly in favour of this
motion.

CARRIED

Winnipeg asked the Chair to read the motions more slowly.
This was agreed to.

MOTION: WHEREAS the ultimate goal of CUTS should be
to serve as many PSE students as possible, and

WHEREAS there are still problems with the
accessibility of CUTS by students.

TBIRT CUTS institute the following proposals as soon as time and as the finances of CUTS allow:

1. establish toll-free phone lines to link CUTS service to institutions that do not have a CUTS office.
2. establish part-time CUTS offices at institutions where there may not be demand for a full time CUTS office.
3. work with student unions to ensure that information about CUTS and how to use their services is distributed to all students in Canada through the handbooks of Student unions and literature.
4. include information about CUTS and how to use their services in the Canadian Student Traveller and in the Youthsaver Discount Guide.

Lethbridge/Grand Prarie

U of T SAC pointed out that CUTS was Travel Cuts.

AMENDMENT: to delete the word "CUTS" and replace it with "Travel Cuts".

Victoria/Alberta

CARRIED

AMENDMENT: to delete the word "Youthsaver" and replace it with "CFS".

VVI/David Thompson

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: to recess until 1:00 p.m.

Queen's GSS/U of Calgary Grads

CARRIED

The Chair called the meeting to order, and proceeded with the RPPP Committee report at 1:30 p.m.

MOTION: to adopt the RPPP Committee Report

Regina/Lethbridge

Andy Knight thanked the RPPP Committee, and the plenary joined him.

Rich Brooks thanked the committee for the direction it gave the board.

CARRIED

g. Discount Committee Report

Ed Buckingham presented the report of the Discount Committee. The objectives of increased awareness and expansion were outlined.

The programs problems were due largely to the short space of time in which it was prepared. There were no firm contacts for the store owners involved.

More specific solicitation suggestions were given. Distribution problems were also addressed.

It was decided to de-emphasize and then fade out the name "Youthsaver".

Bilingual cards were also recommended.

VVI thought that getting rid of the name "Youthsaver" was a good idea.

MOTION: BIRT the name "Youthsaver" no longer be used to describe the CFS Discount Program.

VVI/Selkirk

VVI felt that credibility would be better served by eliminating the name now. "Youthsaver" is an inappropriate name as many older students are unable to identify it.

Capilano thought the name change should take place in a year.

Kwantlen emphasized the need for continuity in our marketing program. There is the threat of some loss of business if there is another name change now.

Simon Fraser spoke in favour of the motion with the reasonable expectation that there was adequate time for a name change. Next September, she felt, was gradual enough.

Douglas College spoke for the motion. She felt an immediate change would be less confusing.

Rich Brooks emphasized the need to phase the name out in two years.

CARRIED

The Board asked for feedback on the name. The Chair pointed out that it was the intention of the Board to call it the "CFS National Discount Program". He then called for a motion to adopt the report.

MOTION: to adopt the report of the Discount Committee
 U Victoria/Douglas College

CARRIED

h. Organizational Development Cmte Report

There were motions arising from caucuses which would be discussed later.

i. Rights Committee Report

There was one motion arising, concerning services.

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE move towards making existing
 and future services available to part-time
 students and promoting them as such

Alberta/NSCAD

Part-time students pay fees, but receive little in the way of services.

VVI pointed out that graduate students often have the same difficulties.

AMENDMENT: to insert "and graduate students".

VVI/U of T GSU

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

j. Board Reports, Parts I and II

The reports had been given earlier in the week.

MOTION: to adopt the Board Reports Parts I and II
 Laurentian/Queen's GSS

CARRIED

k. Conference Development Committee Report

Donna Harley and Lauri Pswlitze presented the report. There was a conference evaluation questionnaire produced. A brief overview of the report included recommendations on the structure of the AGM, food, accomodation, childcare, translation, transportation, equipment rental, supplies, women's caucus, salaries, non-paying delegates. Special concern was given to research priorization, translation services, the women's caucus, and childcare.

The role of the host institution is important. They should organize most of the conference logistics. The Board, CC and staff would be resource people.

Conference costs were also looked at. Starting on time is crucial to cost efficiency.

Trent asked about recommendation #4. He felt that it would be possible to have someone the host institutions objected to end up sponsoring the event. He suggested this would be inappropriate.

MOTION: to adopt the report
 Winnipeg/Saskatchewan

UBC felt it would be wiser to move into a committee of the whole to debate the entire report.

MOTION: to move into a committee of the whole
 UBC/U Victoria

DEFEATED

It was pointed out that the CD recommendations on translation ignored the need for a truly bilingual organization. In light of this, recommendation #1 should be dropped (recommendation under cost-saving translation).

The committee responded that they were aware of the need for translations, but that individual translators might be cheaper than the current system.

UBC asked what would happen if the motion were defeated.

Other motions would be put forward.

AMENDMENT: to delete recommendation #1

Queen's GSS/U of T GSU

Several delegates spoke of the need for translation in workshops, and of documents. This is not the Federation of English Canadian Students.

Winnipeg spoke against the amendment, because the report could later be amended.

DEFEATED

Selkirk asked for another amendment.

AMENDMENT: to remove "and the delegate" from the recommendation on childcare.

Selkirk/(seconder unknown)

The Chair, to avoid further confusion, suggested the following motion.

AMENDMENT: to amend the motion to adopt to read "to receive the report".

UBC/VVI

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the Conference Development Committee be established as a standing committee for future CFS/FCE general meetings

VVI/Sir Silfred Grenfell

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT conference budgets be prepared by all institutions proposing to host a CFS/FCE annual or semi-annual conference and be included in the proposal when submitted, and BIFRT budgets for both the current and the subsequent conferences be made available to all pertinent committees and interested member institutions by the opening plenary of all future conferences.

Alberta/Lethbridge

MOTION: to divide the preceding motion
VVI/U Victoria

DEFEATED

Victoria asked if the budget for the subsequent conference was available. It was.

Waterloo asked how far in advance conference locations were decided upon. Saskatchewan had been decided in June. This should be standard practice.

Diane Flaherty noted that conferences are decided on one year in advance. The budgets submitted are ballpark figures.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT a conference evaluation questionnaire be prepared by the Conference Development Committee and distributed to all delegates by the final plenary of all future conferences.

Nipissing/Guelph

Laurentian asked for some mechanism to have the questionnaire returned. They would be collected at the end of the closing plenary.

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT a research prioritization committee be set up as a standing committee for all future conferences.

Alberta/Lethbridge

Regina wondered how this committee would function.

The recommendation allowed for prioritization when things were brought to it.

Victoria felt this motion had a number of problems, such as when the committee would meet and how it would be representative or democratic.

Regina asked if the research committee would deal with previous conferences or the current one.

The committee responded that the Research Committee would work closely with the resolutions committee in determining priorities.

MOTION: to table the motion

SFU/VVI

DEFEATED

Carleton pointed out that a similar motion was coming out of Organizational Development which would have the CC do the prioritization and report back to the membership.

Queen's GSS felt this committee would be impractical.

Laurentian suggested that this belonged to the CFS plenary.

She was informed that both plenaries would have to deal with this.

Laurentian asked if the CC already did this work.

The CC does go over conference mandates and prioritize them.

Capilano asked if this committee would have both the information and the people capable of performing this task.

Winnipeg spoke in favour of the motion, giving the last conference as an example of why it was needed.

Alberta urged the plenary to vote against the motion, and look to the Organizational Development motion.

MOTION: to extend the speaker's list

Laurentian/York

DEFEATED

MAIN MOTION DEFEATED

MOTION BIRT all regular conference services be made available by the opening of the women's caucus.

Nipissing/U Victoria

U of T SAC pointed out that services should also be available for the Women's Steering Committee.

AMENDMENT: to replace the words "by the" with "before the"

U of T SAC/U Victoria

Concordia felt that the women's caucus must be recognized as an integral part of the conference.

Selkirk pointed out that there was no CC member available at the caucus and she hoped the Women's Commissioner would never again have to choose between the Women's Caucus and the CC Meeting.

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT all proposed agenda amendments must be received by the executive four weeks prior to the opening plenary, and

BIFRT all changes to the agenda will be voted on at the opening plenary.

Nipissing/Wascana

Waterloo asked about the ramifications of instituting this procedure. It was pointed out that major changes must be allowed for logistically.

U of T SAC asked how the CC was going to accept agenda priorities. This would be done via the outline in the By-laws.

AMENDMENT: to delete the words "four weeks".

VVI/King Edward

VVI felt that the plenary should maintain the right to amend the agenda at the opening plenary.

This right was never in question, it was the preparing the logistics that required prior knowledge.

DEFEATED

The time frame was still in question, relative to the By-laws.

AMENDMENT: to delete "four" and insert "two" in its place
Guelph/Wascana

Alberta called the question, seconded by Lethbridge. This passed and the vote was put.

CARRIED

U of T SAC asked how agenda priorities would be decided on.

The Committee informed the delegates that the time frame would allow the organizers to comment on priorization and feasibility.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the executive establish a cost-sharing arrangement to cover the additional childcare expenses incurred by delegates leaving their children at home in order to attend conferences.

Laurentian/Simon Fraser

Selkirk proposed an amendment.

AMENDMENT: to insert "between CFS/FCE and the member institution" between "arrangement" and "to cover".

Selkirk/U Victoria

Selkirk pointed out that single parents are already tight-budgeted, and shouldn't be expected to pay for the extra childcare.

Calgary spoke against the motion because he is against childcare and because it sets a precedent for reimbursing delegates for incurred opportunity costs.

Victoria spoke in favour of the motion. Childcare needs to be covered in the same way that delegates' food and accommodations are covered.

Concordia was also in favour of this motion. There is no reason why students should have to suffer while fighting for the rights of other students.

MOTION: to extend the speaker's list
Kwantlen/King Edward

CARRIED

Kelowna spoke against the motion. She argued with the delegate from Calgary in terms of precedent setting.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Alberta spoke in favour of the motion. He also felt that the issues should be addressed, not personalities.

Laurentian called the question, seconded by VVI. This carried and the vote was taken.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

The committee commented that the childcare question is allowed for in the budget.

MOTION: BIRT Women's Caucuses be scheduled to occur for one full day prior to the opening plenary and not be split into two days, and

BIFRT the opening plenary begin the morning following the women's caucus, and

BIFRT time be allotted for social inter action between delegates after the opening plenary.

Alberta/Nipissing

The committee noted the importance of the delegates getting to know one another early on.

AMENDMENT: to add "and that a half hour each day of the conference be made available to the women's caucus" in the first BIRT

U of T SAC/Laurentian

U of T SAC explained the problems of discussing everything necessary. Things occurring during the week are important to the women's caucus.

Laurentian expressed the need to inform the caucus about committee and workshop decisions made by the members on behalf of the whole.

Douglas College did not feel that ½ hour was enough.

King Edward pointed out that there were people who came to the women's caucus only, and it was not fair to expect them to stay for the whole week. The time spent in the Women's Caucus had to be concentrated.

Selkirk noted that the Committee Reports needed to be presented to the Women's Caucus.

Queen's GSS did not feel ½ hour was adequate to discuss major issues. He wondered if this was adequate.

U of T SAC felt the time was sufficient to discuss issues pertinent to women.

Guelph made suggestions about time flexibility.

SFU asked the plenary to be prudent about the time they were spending on wording.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT minutes of general assemblies and information workshops be made available to delegates.

Alberta/Lethbridge

Victoria spoke against the motion because of the prohibitive costs involved.

The Committee hoped that volunteers would take these minutes.

VVI was also opposed to this motion. He noted the background information available at workshops and the fact that delegates could take their own notes. The general assemblies are for information and much of what is accomplished there culminates in the final plenary. Those minutes should be sufficient.

DEFEATED

MOTION: BIRT the executive re-evaluate the travel pool arrangement now in existence with the aim of developing a more cost-efficient scheme which would encourage delegates to travel to meetings as inexpensively as possible.

Nipissing/Regina

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT committee chairs should meet regularly throughout a general meeting to decide on the format of presentation of committee reports at the final plenary, and other relevant matters.

Regina/Wascana

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT response from an application form should be used to indicate if there is a need for translation service during the conference, if delegates are not bilingual. If so, translation service and/or individual translators should be provided for plenaries, general assemblies, information workshops and committees.

UBC/Winnipeg

Simon Fraser opposed the motion because it is conditional. This organization is a bilingual one.

Winnipeg explained that the intention was to save costs, should everyone present be comfortable in English.

King Edward pointed out that one could never be sure of delegates' bilingualism because there were so many last minute delegates.

Waterloo felt this motion puts unfair restrictions on Franco-phone schools.

U of T SAC emphasized CFS/FCE as a national organization. Canada, she said, is a bilingual country.

Waterloo suggested an amendment.

AMENDMENT: to strike everything before "if so"

Victoria/Regina

CARRIED

AMENDMENT: to add "and find the most cost-efficient method of so doing"

Saskatchewan/Wascana

Saskatchewan informed delegates that the simultaneous translation service costs \$2,500 per day. She felt there must be a more cost-efficient way of doing this.

Lethbridge brought up the problem of finances. Conference fees would be prohibitive.

The committee agreed with the need for budget consciousness.

Guelph pointed out that the \$2,500 figure was for the entire week and not a per day cost. Saskatchewan would cost \$3,000.

Moncton suggested that the bilingual students could do some of the translation.

Capilano pointed out that the Committee was trying to save money at the expense of minority students. He felt that they could surely cut elsewhere.

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

The Committee was thanked by the plenary.

Alex Daschko asked the Chair to take calls for the question from the floor.

The Chair agreed with the stipulation that they be taken between speakers and must still be seconded.

1. Organizational Development Committee Report

Josh Hawkes and Dave Desormeaux presented the report. The areas of immediate importance were Executive, Joint Relations (CFS and CFS-S), Membership, National/Provincial Relations and Staffing Priorities.

These were narrowed down to Executive, Staffing and Membership.

MOTION: to receive the report

U Victoria/Sir Wilfred Grenfell

CARRIED

MOTION: WHEREAS the mandates given the Central Committee by its members during the final plenary of national conference may come under revision at a later date by the CC, and

WHEREAS such a revision may result in the overruling of one or more particular mandates.

TBIRT the Central Committee prioritize all mandates received from the plenary and that a statement of these priorities be forwarded to its members within three weeks of the termination of the conference.

Calgary/Regina

Regina moved to call the question, seconded by Calgary. This carried and the vote was taken.

CARRIED

MOTION: WHEREAS improved communication among the various structures of CFS/FCE is essential to the organization's stability and growth.

TBIRT the minutes of all CC and Board meetings including a short summary of main points of discussion pro and con, as well as a vote count for and against, be forwarded to each provincial component for distribution to local member institutions, and

BIFRT this be accomplished EXCEPT where the Collective Agreement prohibits or discourages the publication of matters concerning staff-management relations.

Nipissing/SMU

AMENDMENT: to delete "as well as a vote count for and against"

Laurentian/Alberta

Simon Fraser pointed out that the vote count was intended for information purposes and was not an attempt to nail anyone.

Laurentian noted that a decision, once made, becomes an executive decision. It is counterproductive to illustrate a split.

Regina felt a vote count should be noted if there is sufficient disagreement.

Calgary spoke against the amendment. Vote counts would counter the view of the CC as a monolithic body. Close votes indicate valid arguments on both sides, and that perhaps the plenary should decide on those motions.

Victoria hoped this motion would make the Baord and CC more democratic, and he was against the amendment.

MOTION: to extend the speaker's list
 SMU/Kings College

DEFEATED

Winnipeg spoke in favour of the amendment for the cause of unity.

AMENDMENT DEFEATED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

Dave Desormeaux noted Catherine Glen's concern over the length of the documents. He pointed out that this was the reasoning behind sending them to the provincial components.

MOTION: BIRT CFS Services create an additional board position of a "member-at-large" effective upon ratification at the May 1983 conference.

BIFRT this representative assume the responsibilities as a liason between CFS and CFS-S.

Alberta/Lethbridge

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the Board of Directors and Central Committee prepare job descriptions for all elected positions and portfolios in CFS/FCE and CFS-S respectively, and

BIFRT these job descriptions are to be distributed to the membership no later than March 1, 1983 and that these job descriptions be considered by the membership at the Spring Conference 1983.

Nipissing/Regina

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the CFS Central Committee and the CFS-S Board conduct a review of their respective long-term staffing priorities, and

BIFRT this reviewing process be done in consultation with the Labour-Management Board (when applicable) and with provincial orgs, etc...

Nipissing/Regina

CARRIED

m. Policy Committee

There was one motion arising from the policy committee pertaining to the services plenary.

MOTION: BIRT CFS-S shall review the policy of all affiliated organizations with the intent of adopting, whenever possible, said policies. This motion shall serve as notice of motion for policy adoption in May, 1983.

Ontario College of Art/U Victoria

A definition of "all affiliated organizations" was asked for.

The Policy Committee understood that CFS, CFS-S, CFS-Pacific, CFS-Saskatchewan and CFS-Ontario were the five components of the organization.

David Jones asked whether they wished to adopt all policies or to ensure non-conflicting policies or to merely amalgamate relevant policies.

It was hoped that all policies would eventually be adopted and be consistent.

Guelph stated that things like non-sexist advertising needed to be included.

Calgary proposed an amendment.

AMENDMENT: to delete "all affiliated organizations" and replace it with "CFS".

Calgary/Winnipeg

Calgary felt that policy should be decided on nationally.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

David Jones emphasized the need for policy determination and expressed concern over the relevance of certain policies. There is a need for policy classification.

CFS-O asked for clarification of the motion as it now stands.

The committee explained that all CFS and CFS-S policies would be one and the same.

Lethbridge highlighted the words "where possible", noting their importance in carrying out the motion.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

The Chair announced the Kelsey had left their proxy with CFS-Saskatchewan.

VVI challenged Saskatchewan's right to hold Kelsey's proxy without approval from Kelsey's council.

The Chair took this point under advisement. When this was investigated, constitutionally, the challenge was upheld.

10. Provincial Caucuses

Atlantic

MOTION: BIRT the Services Board evaluate the services offered by CFS-S via communication with each full member Student Representative Council, to determine whether the majority of students are benefiting from the services currently being offered.

IF the response received indicates that the majority of students registered at full member institutions are not benefiting from service or services provided by CFS-S,

BIFRT those service or services be discontinued and that the funds saved be re-allocated to basic services which would benefit all students, such as a data bank service, food service consultants, bar service operations consultant, yearbook service, block booking services.

SMU/Sir Wilfred Grenfell

UBC questioned the constitutionality of this motion. The Chair ruled it in order.

SMU wanted to make sure that the services offered were benefiting as many students as they should.

U of T SAC disagreed with the motion because it could cause serious problems in allowing the organizations to grow.

Winnipeg stressed the necessity of existing services like CUTS.

Carleton asked for specifics.

The Atlantic caucus wanted service evaluation in terms of a majority of students actually using the services. They want the usage to justify the cost.

Calgary "bashed the motion quite heavily". He felt it was the responsibility of CFS-S to provide student services of merit and necessity.

Dalhousie spoke in favour of the motion so that full members could have more input into this process.

Capilano asked where the money for these surveys would come from. He pointed out the need for things like improved advertising for the existing services.

MOTION: to extend the speaker's list

U of T SAC/Laurentian

DEFEATED

Winnipeg put forth an amendment.

AMENDMENT: BIFRT services that are profitable be excluded from this motion's jurisdiction.

Winnipeg/Capilano

U of T SAC spoke against the motion because whatever evaluation was made would be hasty.

Calgary called the question on both the amendment and the main motion, seconded by Alberta. The question was called and the votes were registered.

AMENDMENT DEFEATED

MAIN MOTION DEFEATED

MOTION: to recess until 6:15 p.m.

Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Wascana

CARRIED

The meeting was reconvened at 6:30 p.m.

There were no other caucus motions.

11a. Board of Directors Meetings

MOTION: to ratify the minutes of the Board of Directors meetings as circulated.

U Victoria/Memorial

CARRIED

11b. Confirmation of ACTS

This was explained as being a corporate requirement.

MOTION: BIRT all acts, contracts, by-laws, proceedings, appointments, elections and payments enacted and taken on by the Board of Directors of the company since the last annual general meeting of the members of the same, as set out or referred to in the meetings of the Board of Directors or in the financial statements submitted to this meeting be and hereby are approved, sanctioned and confirmed.

Calgary/Grand Prairie

CARRIED

11c. Financial Statements

MOTION: to accept the financial statements for year end June 1982

Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Douglas

CARRIED

11d. Appointment of Auditors

MOTION: to appoint Clarkson and Gordon as auditors.

Memorial/King Edward

The Board is also undertaking a review of the auditing firm and is accepting tenders from other companies. This will be brought back to a later meeting.

CARRIED

12. Elections and Grill Session

Bryan Bedford, Chief Returning Officer, announced the nominations which had been received.

For Chair, Richard Brook of the University of Saskatchewan was the only nominee.

The single candidate for Treasurer was John McDougal of the University of Prince Edward Island.

Bryan announced the opening of the grill session.

VVI asked both candidates if they were supportive of CFS being the sole visible symbol of CFS-Services.

John asked for clarification and then responded affirmatively. He noted the current phasing out of AOSC.

John was asked for his background in Finance.

As President of UPEI, a senior business administration student and current member of the Board, John felt he was qualified.

Both candidates were asked what they felt would be the best thing CFS-S could accomplish in the coming year.

John felt that the Data Bank would be the most significant activity undertaken.

Rich noted that growth and response to concerns are important functions. He pointed out that the Chair must implement the plenary's directions.

The viability of CPS was questioned.

John explained that young organizations take a while to get off the ground. He suggested that, with CPS broadening its services, it would do better in the future.

Rich expressed the need to found the Data Bank. The concept must be taken to individual institutions. He agreed with John's views on CPS. He also noted CPS's current expansion.

Both candidates were asked if they supported the idea of an at-large budget committee.

Rich Brook felt that this budget committee was needed and useful.

John concurred with Richard's observations.

Mike Crystal asked how Rich felt about subsidy services to the smaller institutions.

Rich hadn't yet decided about how he felt. He thought it should be looked at in detail by the Board.

He was asked if he saw these services as a priority.

Rich answered in the affirmative.

Kwantlen wanted to know Rich's experience.

Rich is External Vice President at the University of Saskatchewan Student Union. He has been involved and interested for over a year. He is currently a member of the Board.

Kwantlen asked both candidates how they felt towards plenary mandates.

John and Rich stressed the fact that the Board is the tool to enact the plenary mandates.

Lethbridge wished both candidates would express their views on what were the current issues/crises facing CFS-S.

John felt that a collapse of CUTS would be the major crisis facing the Board. The major issues were those demanded by the plenary.

Rich felt the major crisis was a lack of money available for services development.

Lethbridge asked if the question of student control in the services area was a problem.

John did not feel it was a large problem. Every organization learns from its mistakes.

Rich agreed with John.

Trent complained that they are unable to take part in any of the CFS Services. He asked what guarantee Rich could offer his school. Rich could only promise that they would serve every campus to the best of their ability.

Victoria asked if each candidate would oppose CUTS booking flights to South Africa.

Rich felt that CUTS could not legally refuse to sell tickets to wherever planes fly. They do not advertize it, however.

John reiterated Rich's points.

It was also noted that CFS-S would change its Bank if so mandated.

Guelph asked about the issue of consumer-oriented services. She wondered if the candidates had any ideas about new consumer types of services.

John replied that no new services will come forward until CFS-S can afford them, but that any service idea would be considered.

Rich noted that the possibilities are endless.

Calgary asked about each candidates' feelings on deficit financing.

John was dead against it on principle. He hoped to see CFS-S able to finance itself soon.

Rich agreed with John.

Selkirk asked how the candidates felt about bilingual service materials.

Rich said they would be bilingual as soon as possible.

John agreed with Rich, keeping in mind certain prohibitive costs.

MOTION: to close the grill session
 Carleton/Regina

CARRIED

The vote was taken by secret ballot.

During this time it was suggested that the translation equipment be kept until midnight in future.

The election results were announced by Bryan Bedford. John McDougal was declared elected as Treasurer. The position of Chair, however, remained open.

MOTION: to destroy the ballots
 Memorial/Douglas

CARRIED

MOTION: to reopen nominations for the position of
CFS-S Chair

Saskatchewan/Calgary

CARRIED

VVI presented a procedural motion.

MOTION: to recess until 9:30 p.m.

NO SECOND

It was decided to move to the AOSC plenary and close
nominations at the reopening of the CFS-S plenary.

MOTION: to recess until the conclusion of the AOSC
plenary

U Victoria/King Edward

CARRIED

C L O S I N G P L E N A R Y A O S C

1. Call to Order

The ASOC plenary was called to order. A roll call of those
institutions who are members of AOSC, but not of CFS-S was
taken. University of Waterloo was the only one present.

2. Adoption of the Agenda

MOTION: to approve the agenda

Ryerson/Regina

CARRIED

3. Approval of Minutes

MOTION: to approve the minutes of the last General
Meeting and the meetings of the Board of
Directors.

Regina/Nipissing

CARRIED

4. Approval of Auditors

MOTION: to approve Clarkson and Gordon as the auditors of AOSC for the year end June 30, 1982 with remuneration to be set by the Board of Directors.

Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Calgary

CARRIED

5. Approval of ACTS

MOTION: BIRT all acts, contracts, by-laws, proceedings, appointments, elections and payments enacted and taken on by the Board of Directors of the company since the last annual general meeting of the members of the same, as set out or referred to in the meetings of the Board of Directors or in the financial statements submitted to this meeting be and hereby are approved, sanctioned and confrimed.

Waterloo/Laurentian

CARRIED

6. Ratification of Executive

MOTION: to ratify the present Board of CFS-S as the Board of AOSC

Ryerson/Waterloo

CARRIED

7. Ratification of CFS-S Business

MOTION: to ratify all decisions made in the CFS-S plenary as AOSC decisions

Regina/Calgary

It was made clear that this would approve everything up to the recess.

CARRIED

8. Adjournment

MOTION: to adjourn the AOSC plenary
Waterloo/Nipissing

CARRIED

C F S - S P L E N A R Y R E S U M E D

12. Elections and Grill Session (con't)

MOTION: to close nominations for CFS-S Chair
Saskatchewan/Laurentian

CARRIED

Bryan Bedford announced the receipt of two nominations.

Richard Brook
Rod McNish

University of Saskatchewan
Kwantlen College

MOTION: to open a grill session
Laurentian/Victoria

CARRIED

Dalhousie asked Rod why he didn't run the first time. He also wanted to know his differences with Rich.

Rod didn't run at first because his major concern is CFS. He felt, however, that he knew the organization well. The major problem facing CFS-S was finding a solution to student involvement in the organization.

The organization needs a Chair to integrate the national and service components.

Dalhousie wanted more specifics.

Rod felt the mandate of the plenary was supreme and must be followed.

Victoria asked Rich why he was running again after having received a non-confidence vote from the plenary.

Rich felt that he could do a good job and follow the plenary's mandate. He asked for the delegates' specific concerns.

Victoria asked how Rich felt about providing services for students with lower incomes.

Rich pointed out the Youthsaver and CPS programs and the proposed Data Bank.

Saskatchewan asked for Rod's experience and potential contributions as CFS-S Chair.

Rod felt he was good at taking ideas, carrying them out, and communicating. He has little experience relative to the services area.

Lethbridge asked how both candidates felt about student control in the area of services.

Rich emphasized the plenary's supremacy in determining policy.

Rod had concerns over things being staff run. More student control in reality was necessary.

Lethbridge wanted to know the candidates' feelings on having a full-time paid CFS-S Chair.

Rich was of the opinion that this position is not a high priority at this time.

Rod agreed with Rich.

VVI asked the candidates what they felt the prerequisites should be for running for the Board.

Rod felt commitment and giving time were enough.

Rich agreed with this, but added that effectiveness could be enhanced by experience.

VVI wanted to know if the Board of Directors was a corporate manager or a representative of students.

Rod felt they were essentially student representatives.

Rich concurred.

King Edward asked what direction would the Board be given to make services accessible to smaller and regional institutions.

Rod noted the RPPP's report. He felt that communication and co-operation were crucial.

Rich pointed out that accessibility and work are a two-way street. Member institutions must do some of the work as they make up the organization.

MOTION: to close the grill session
 Selkirk/U Victoria

CARRIED

Bryan distributed the ballots and went over procedure.

Alex Daschko announced the first birthday celebration of the Canadian Federation of Students. He then led the delegates in a heart-warming rendition of "Happy Birthday".

Brian announced the election of Richard Brook as CFS-S Chairperson.

He was congratulated by the plenary at length.

MOTION: to destroy the ballots.
 Wascana/Sir Wilfred Grenfell

CARRIED

13. Adjournment

MOTION: to adjourn the CFS-S plenary
 Carleton/Simon Fraser

CARRIED

Alex Daschko, retiring CFS-S Chair was thanked by the plenary loudly and at length.

Alex gave thanks to Peter Behie who created the Canadian Programming Service.

The plenary ended.

C F S C L O S I N G P L E N A R Y

1. Call to Order

The CFS/FCE closing plenary was called to order.
The Chair pointed out that nominations for vacant positions were automatically closed.

2. Roll Call

Quorum was present and accounted for. The proxies held were: Dalhousie for Kings College, Selkirk for David Thompson, U of T SAC for Fanshawe, Queen's GSS for Queen's AMS.

3. Approval of Agenda

MOTION: to adopt the agenda

VVI/U Victoria

AMENDMENT: that the Student Aid and Policy Reports be moved #1 and #2 under Committees.

U Victoria/Regina

CARRIED

AMENDMENT: to move Elections to number 4a.

Saskatchewan/Fanshawe

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

4. Closing of Nominations and Elections

Bryan Bedford announced three nominees for the position of Treasurer.

Ed Buckingham
Steve Quigley
Bob Wright

Memorial
Ryerson
Western SOGS

MOTION: to open a grill session

Guelph/Sir Wilfred Grenfell

AMENDMENT: to add "until 9:10 p.m.".

U Victória/Regina

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

Balloting procedures were explained.

Alberta asked all candidates for their experience in financial affairs.

Steve, as president of SURPI, had experienced and overcome financial difficulties within that Council.

Ed was a commerce graduate and student union president.

Bob, as SOGS president, had experience administering that budget.

The candidates were asked how they felt about taking CFS funds out of Banks which support South Africa.

Steve was much in favour of this move.

Ed would follow the direction of the plenary.

Bob would also have no difficulties with this.

The candidates were then asked which of them had sat on the Budget Committee and what direction they saw the budget taking next year.

Bob sat on the Budget Committee. He noted the largest problem facing CFS/FCE was an inability to determine what our real income will be. For this year, the direction should be retiring our deficit.

Ed would like to see the Budget more accountable and relevant to the plenary. He did not sit on the committee.

Steve did not sit on the Budget Committee. He saw the setting of a fee agreement between CFS and member institutions as a priority. Those members who have not yet paid their fees should enter into a repayment plan including a nominal interest charge. Monthly trial balances should be reported. Planning is also essential.

UPEI asked what priorities to vocational issues would be given by the candidates in the CC.

Ed recognized vocational issues as important in post secondary education. However, his major concern is the monetary side of things.

Bob saw vocational needs as a special concern which must be addressed.

Steve, being from Ryerson, felt he could offer some insights from personal experience.

The candidates were then asked what they felt should be done about the number of "freebies" attending the conferences.

Bob hoped they would, in future, be able to take them out of other lines in the budget.

Ed pointed out that the people there were determined in advance. The people coming should not be penalized.

Steve agreed with both points, but wished to allow a small-institution subsidy. To do this meant reducing conference costs in general.

In answer to a question about outstanding fees, Steve reiterated his views on fees and repayment agreements. Further, he noted, the budget process had to be changed.

Ed outlined the normal channels already available. He suggested sanctions if the fees were not forthcoming. Budgetary items must be broken down as much as possible.

Bob explained that some institutions received their fees late, and therefore paid them late. It was the job of the Treasurer to see these matters concluded expediently. A more specific budget is essential.

MOTION: to extend the grill session for 10 minutes
 Kwantlen/Fanshawe

DEFEATED

The secret ballot was taken and Bob Wright was eliminated.

MOTION: to destroy the ballots
 Memorial/Guelph

CARRIED

The second ballot was taken. Steve Quigley was elected Treasurer.

MOTION: to destroy the ballots
Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Regina

CARRIED

5. Approval of Conference Minutes

MOTION: to adopt the minutes of the Charlottetown conference.
Fanshawe/McMaster

VVI asked for a ruling on an SFU/King Edward motion in those minutes referring to military spending. It was unclear whether the amendment replaced #'s 1, 2, and 3 or added to them. The Chair ruled the amendment as a replacement.

CARRIED

A relevant motion was then placed on the floor.

MOTION: BIRT in order to avoid repetition, the CFS/FCE plenary accept the minutes of today's CFS Services plenary as a portion of its own minutes, and
BIFRT today's CFS/FCE plenary adopt all appropriate successful motions contained within said minutes.
U Victoria/Saskatchewan

Diane Flaherty asked how "appropriate" was to be determined.

It was pointed out that the wording of the motions made it quite clear with the mention of both organizations.

Calgary moved to call the question, seconded by Memorial. This carried and the vote was put.

CARRIED

VVI brought forward another relevant motion.

MOTION: WHEREAS motions will come before the plenary which concern Quebec.

TBIRT the delegate from Concordia be permitted full speaker's privileges on any/all motions which concern Quebec.

VVI/Kwantlen

CARRIED

It was pointed out to the Chair that the ruling on the SFU/King Edward motion may be out of order. That motion came out of the Ottawa Conference, not the Charlottetown conference.

6. Committee Reports

a. Policy Committee Report

Charlie Dobbin presented the committee's report. It determined which motions arising from the Ottawa and Charlottetown conferences constituted policy motions.

General political statements were deemed not to be policy because (a) they were specific, (b) they may conflict with provincial organizations, and (c) it would be too unwieldy. *stated so many.*

This may require a constitutional amendment and this serves as pre-notice of motion.

There will be one speaker for and against the policy motions.

MOTION: BIRT CFS recognize the right of lesbian and gay students to organize and meet on campus.

Alberta/King Edward

CARRIED

The policy committee recommended against this motion.

MOTION: BIRT CFS recognize that the extension of the school year and the reduction in weekly contact hours and increased workload of faculty is detrimental to the quality of education.

Fanshawe/Kwantlen

Lambton explained that the extension of the school year was bad for increased workload of faculty and late job-hunting starts for students.

DEFEATED

MOTION BIRT political parties not be allowed to
 distribute their publications at national
 conferences

Laurentian/Alberta

Regina spoke against the motion on the grounds that these
parties supply us with resources and materials.

Alberta felt that a proliferation of political materials
might damage the credibility of the organization.

MOTION to extend the speaker's list to two in favour,
 two opposed.

SFU/Selkirk

DEFEATED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED (23/9/5)

MOTION: BIRT CFS demand that the Canadian government,
 in order to maintain the consistent policy
 of peace, take the following actions:

1. terminate agreements that allow for
 the operation of US nuclear facilities
 in Canada (eg: Comox, BC)
2. stop the manufacture of nuclear armaments
 or compounds thereof in Canada
3. ban the production of biological and
 chemical weapons (as happened with napalm
 and agent-orange during Vietnam)
4. maintain a policy of "no trespassing"
 with respect to foreign military training
 in Canadian territory
5. ban testing of US cruise missiles in Canada

U of T GSU/Wascana

CARRIED, with Calgary opposed and Laurentian abstaining.

MOTION: BIRT CFS oppose any differential fees or
 any fee increases and general tuition increases
 that attempt to change the fee structure and
 threaten the right of students to an acces-
 sible education.

Regina/King Edward

CARRIED, McMaster abstaining.

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE adopt a policy to have the federal government include the right of students to full access to the education of their choice and to exercise their right to an education as spelled out in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

Alberta/Regina

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the members of CFS try to integrate the National Campaign of CFS with those of le Regroupement des Associations Etudiants et Etudiantes Universitaires du Quebec (RAEU) and L'Association National des Etudiants et Etudiantes du Quebec (l'ANEQ) wherever possible.

Alberta/Trent

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE endorse the rights of students and research assistants to be recognized in proportion to their contribution on research and all subsequent papers and materials resulting from such research.

Trent/U of T SAC

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS oppose in principle the exploitation of unpaid student labour as part of their program, and furthermore support the elimination of student fees for field placement and clinical experience.

McMaster/York

Queen's GSS was opposed to this because of the valuable experience sometimes accrued.

Alberta was in favour of the motion because it opposed the exploitation of student labour.

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE strongly urge its members, when choosing delegates, to ensure that the percentage of part-time students reflects the percentage of part-time students within their constituencies, and

BIFRT members who do not comply with this policy should send at least one delegate who is informed of part-time issues and will attend part-time issues workshops.

Fanshawe/Selkirk

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE encourage its member students' associations to address the concerns of part-time students and actively encourage part-time students to organize and participate in their student unions.

Alberta/SMU

CARRIED

The next motion was the one ruled on previously by the Chair.

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE, in order to have a consistent policy in defense of world peace, demand that the Canadian government condemn, at the UN and at other international forums, the use of force in the conduct of international relations, that it deplore all violations of national sovereignty and the right to national self-determination, and that it urge the United States and the Soviet Union to enter into meaningful negotiations for the limitation and eventual elimination of nuclear weapons.

SFU/King Edward

SFU challenged the Chair's previous ruling, with Regina seconding.

CHALLENGE: shall the ruling of the Chair be upheld?

THE CHAIR IS NOT SUSTAINED.

This, then, is the new motion.

Therefore, the following is the second part of the motion.

MOTION

- CONTINUED:
1. call for the total elimination of all nuclear weapons, starting with the two biggest nuclear powers, the US and the USSR,
 2. urge the Canadian government to withdraw from NATO and NORAD,
 3. demand that the Canadian government condemn at the UN and at other international forums, all aggressive actions of both the US and the USSR.

Regina/Victoria

Calgary wanted to deal with the two parts separately.

MOTION: to divide #2 from the motion

Calgary/Alberta

DEFEATED

Capilano spoke against the motion because leaving NATO is not economically feasible. CFS would lose credibility by supporting this.

Queen's GSS had an amendment.

AMENDMENT: to delete section#2

Queen's GSS/Alberta

Alberta could not vote in favour of the motion if this amendment were included.

Victoria spoke against the amendment because he felt Canada should be a neutral country.

Ryerson noted that we cannot change the intent of the motions, which have already been passed.

The Chair explained that passing a motion was only the first step to becoming policy.

AMENDMENT DEFEATED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED, with Laurentian, Alberta, York abstaining; Queen's GSS, Calgary GSA, SMU, Calgary, Dalhousie, Kings College and Memorial opposed.

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE hold that practices like break-ins, letter opening, the maintenance of files on student activists, trade union organizers, and community leaders, whose 'crime' is to be active, are totally unacceptable - whether undertaken illegally by the RCMP or legally under the new civil security service (Spooks Canada) - as proposed by the McDonald Commission.

UBC/Capilano

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE voice its opposition to the increasing military budget and urge the federal government to put a higher priority on its support of post-secondary education and other social services.

Carleton/Kwantlen

MOTION: to divide just before the "and urge".

Calgary/Western SOGS

It was felt that this division would change the intent of the motion.

The Chair ruled the division in order.

DEFEATED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE refer to its membership as "Universities, Colleges, and Technical Institutes" unless there is a valid reason to single out a single sector.

Memorial/York

The committee was against this because "post-secondary institutions" is enough.

DEFEATED

MOTION: BIRT the local organizing of students be based on the principle of creating a common front with local trade union and employee associations, and

BIFRT CFS/FCE work closely in conjunction with the Canadian Labour Congress, in our common front approach, as our aims and objectives are the same in our pursuit to create a better Canada.

U of T GSU/King Edward

The policy committee was against this.

Queen's GSS spoke against this motion because of the fear that CFS might turn into a trade union.

Carleton emphasized the need and effectiveness of alliance building.

CARRIED, with Alberta, SMU, Calgary, Sir Wilfred Grenfell, Memorial and York opposing.

MOTION: BIRT CFS and its member organizations undertake to provide native students with whatever is necessary in order that they may organize themselves and make contact with other native students across Canada.

Lambton/Laurentian

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE, in any research on accessibility, be mandated to investigate the particular problems faced by single parents, and

BIFRT CFS/FCE encourage their member institutions and provincial organizations to incorporate the issues of the problem of single parents in their campaigns.

Regina/U of T GSU

MOTION: to divide the two resolveds

Alberta/Victoria

CARRIED

U of T GSU spoke in favour of the first resolved.

FIRST RESOLVED CARRIED

SECOND RESOLVED CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE adopt the recommendations of
"A New Direction for CFS International
Relations".

Regina/Carleton

The International Relations Committee emphasized the importance and relevance of this document.

MOTION: to table until the Saskatchewan conference
SFU/U Victoria

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION TABLED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE condemn the policy of fiscal
restraint in social services when practiced
by the federal and provincial governments.

Ontario College of Art/U Victoria

CARRIED

The motions dealing with general political questions were brought forward.

MOTION: BIRT CFS recognize the aims and objectives
of the FDR/FMLN as being legitimately representative of the majority of the people in
El Salvador, and

BIFRT CFS recognize the General Association
of Salvadorian students (AGEUS) as the
legitimate student organization in El Salvador, and

BIFRT CFS ask the Salvadorian Junta to reopen
the University in El Salvador and to refrain
from further military and other interference
in the proceedings of that university.

Regina/King Edward

Queen's GSS had problems with CFS supporting an organization which kills people.

Regina pointed out that the junta kills more people. Also, the FDR/FMLN recognizes the student movement where the government does not.

CARRIED, with Queen's AMS, Queen's GSS, Laurentian, Mt.
St. Vincent, OCA, York, SMU and Calgary opposed

MOTION: BIRT CFS condemn the enactments of the general law of the Universities of Chile, which relates many sectors of post-secondary education to the private sector, and

BIFRT CFS actively denounce the international trade conference which is being sponsored by the Canadian and BC governments in Vancouver and to which representatives from the Chilean Junta have been invited, and

BIFRT CFS encourage local campuses to give material support to the "House of Students Program" currently being organized by the Chilean students in exile.

Regina/Alberta

U Victoria urged the plenary to vote in favour, reminding them that they would be under surveillance or arrest were they in Chile at the time.

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE express its solidarity with the students of Chile in their resolute opposition to the GLU.

Alberta/King Edward

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE encourage all student and other youth organizations to set up and fund South African Action Committees, or some other appropriate structure, to run appropriate campaigns, and to ally themselves with other groups (including trade unions, faculty association, church groups, etc.) to run appropriate campaigns, against apartheid.

Victoria/Carleton

The original motion contained an extensive list of tactics. It was the policy committee's ruling that the tactics were not included in the motion presented to the plenary because they are not policy.

U Victoria spoke strongly of the need for this motion.

CARRIED

The last four motions now constitute policy.

Diane Flaherty asked the plenary what would happen to the time-consuming policy-package preparation.

The Policy Committee responded that five weeks notice of motion would have to be given should any of the members wish policy to be passed at Saskatoon.

The Chair ruled that any delegate can bring forward policy motions now.

Catherine Glen pointed out that the Policy Committee must rule on a motions concurrence. Therefore, all policy motions must go through this committee.

MOTION: to recess for 15 minutes

Sir Wilfred Grenfell/Memorial

CARRIED

The meeting reconvened several minutes later.

MOTION: BIRT no alcoholic beverages be consumed in the conference room for the duration of the plenary.

Queen's GSS/U of T GSU

CARRIED

A point of personal privilege was directed to the Chair concerning the language of certain delegates.

The Policy Committee opened the floor to any motions relating to policy the membership wished to bring forward.

A delegate asked about making small-institution subsidies policy, since it had been brought forward at two successive conferences. He was informed that notice of motion had to be given before that could happen, because the two motions were somewhat different.

Steve Quigley wished to note that he would try to have specific information on subsidies by January.

MOTION: WHEREAS the special problems of native students have resisted resolution, and

WHEREAS native students are experiencing difficulty in organizing because of many factors.

TBIRT CFS/FCE call upon member organizations to undertake to provide native students with the encouragement, time, space, money, and whatever else is necessary in order that

native students may organize themselves and make contact with other native students across Canada, and that CFS/FCE make its own resources available to organize as a matter of high priority.

Winnipeg/Regina

Winnipeg noted a future motion which relies on this motion and he urged the plenary to vote in favour.

VVI pointed out that a previous motion had already addressed this.

MOTION WITHDRAWN

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE hire a full-time translator as soon as possible, and that the definition of this position be established by the Central Committee.

Regina/U Victoria

Regina spoke in favour in the hopes that this would clear up many of the conflicts over translation.

VVI felt that this was a directive, and should not be considered policy.

DEFEATED

King Edward had a further concern, involving the single parents question. It was pointed out that this had been passed.

There were no other policy motions.

b. Student Assistance Committee Report

The Student Assistance Committee Report was given by Al Shpyth, Gayline Van Dusen, Glen Nazereh and Mark Fike

They noted two additional headings on page 4 of the report after the sentence under D. Provincial Directives. They are: E. Research and F. Banks. A few changes or wording to the motions were made before being placed on the floor.

The distinction between 3) Tax Deductability and the Exchange Income Tax Education Deductions, was made. They are two separate suggestions and must be considered as such.

MOTION: to adopt the Ottawa Subcommittee on Student Assistance Report except for the section entitled "The Proposal", pts a-d.

This was interrupted for conference business.

MOTION: to adjourn at 5 a.m.
U Victoria/King Edward

AMENDMENT: to change the time to 3 a.m.
Saskatchewan/Wascana

Regina spoke against the amendment. She didn't feel there was enough time to deal with a majority of the business at hand.

U Victoria called the question, seconded by Regina. This carried and the vote was taken.

AMENDMENT DEFEATED

AMENDMENT: to change the time to 4 a.m.
Regina/Saskatchewan

AMENDMENT CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

A further motion to deal with conference business came up after the delegates encouraged the Chair to speed things along.

MOTION: that any motions not dealt with by the close of the plenary be referred to the Central Committee.

Saskatchewan/Ryerson

Any decisions the CC makes are binding to the plenary.

CARRIED

b. Student Assistance Committee Report (con't).

MOTION: to adopt the Student Assistance Subcommittee Report excepting the section entitled "the Proposal", parts a-d.

Wascana/Regina

CARRIED

MOTION: to accept the principles and objectives as outlined in the report (pg. 1, section a, b)

Lethbridge/Victoria

AMENDMENT: to delete "every resident of Canada" and replace it with "every student of Canada"

VVI/-----

AMENDMENT FAILS FOR LACK OF SECOND

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: to remove part 3, "Tax Deductability" from Section C and place it under heading E. Research.

This motion was not placed on the floor.

MOTION: to adopt all of section C, except part 3, "Tax Deductability".

McMaster/Regina

CARRIED

The next motion arising from this report was:

MOTION: to adopt part D. Provincial Directives, so that each provincial organization can adopt the grant/loan split they wish to fight for, but it must a higher grant ratio.

Regina/Douglas

Lethbridge asked how this would affect the autonomy of the provincial organizations.

Calgary questioned the constitutionality of the motion. The Chair took this under advisement.

AMENDMENT: to change "grant" to "bursary".

King Edward/Ryerson

CARRIED

The Chair ruled the entire motion out of order under By-Law III, Section 4 of the Constitution.

Ryerson proposed a rectifying amendment.

AMENDMENT: to change "must" to "should".

Ryerson/U of T SAC

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: WHEREAS interest rates on student loans affect the debt load of students receiving assistance.

TBIPT the CFS does not support the chartered banks' demand to raise interest rates on federal student loans beyond prime interest rates, and

BIFRT the plenary direct the executive to continue negotiations with the federal government to reduce the interest rate on student loans.

UBC/U Victoria

AMENDMENT: to delete "beyond prime interest rates" and insert "beyond the rate established for Canada Savings Bonds".

Ryerson/U Victoria

Calgary pointed out that widely fluctuating rates of interest on Canada Savings Bonds. He spoke against the motion.

Kwantlen asked for clarification. It was noted that the banks were lobbying for prime plus one or two percent.

It was further explained that the amendment implied acceptance of the status quo.

AMENDMENT DEFEATED

VVI moved a further amendment.

AMENDMENT: to delete "beyond prime interest rates".

VVI/Winnipeg

Winnipeg spoke in favour of the motion because the need for a steady interest rate exists.

CARRIED

VVI moved to call the question on the main motion, seconded by Regina. This passed and the vote was put.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: to place section C, part 3: "Tax Deductability" under E. Research.

McMaster/Regina

UBC spoke against the motion. The proposal would not increase accessibility. It would subsidize those who can already afford to donate money. There is no point mandating this to Bruce Tate. It would be a waste of time because it would not expedite any of our long-term objectives.

SFU explained that tax deductability profits the rich, not those who need the money.

CFS-Pacific informed the plenary of the reasons they had voted against this question. CFS should be supporting a progressive tax system. This motion is against this.

The committee spoke in favour of the motion. This scheme would elicit more money for individual students, as well it is a policing measure. This may be a viable option as a last resort.

Other tax systems should be investigated as well.

DEFEATED

The committee then went through the section G. Accepting the Offer.

MOTION: to adopt the section G. Accepting the Offer including the following motion:

WHEREAS the exchange of ITED for a bursary was originally a student proposal, and

WHEREAS this proposal is a step towards increased accessibility.

TBIRT CFS/FCE urge the federal government to create a national bursary program if the following criteria are met:

1. We regard this exchange as a complete fiscal transfer, therefore all monies we concede must be returned as bursaries.
2. Overall funding for federal student assistance plans must be increased resulting in increased levels for individual students.
3. No reduction can be made in funds allocated to the federal loan program unless those funds are completely transferred to the bursary program.

WE FURTHER RECOMMEND THAT

1. Within the bursary program, the federal government match dollar for dollar the funds generated by the removal of income tax education deductions, confirming their commitment to student assistance.
2. The criteria for determining dependent status be relaxed and assessed on an individual basis.
3. Access to spousal income for contributions to a student's education costs must be assessed on an individual basis.
4. The Means Test
 - 4.1 The means test must be recognized as only a representation of students' actual living costs, and not be rigidly adhered to, to the detriment of students whose costs for whatever reason exceed those allowed for in the means test.
 - 4.2 To that end, applicants must be guaranteed automatic recourse to re-assessment for each line item of the means test.
 - 4.3 Line items must be set by a provincial body which surveys each line item as its true costs increase.

5. Student with Dependents

- 5.1 Parents are entitled to include in their assessed need the cost of each child, calculated on a monthly basis.
- 5.2 Child care costs must be allowed for childcare up to 13 years of age or extended in special circumstances. The child care allowance must carry no maximum and be based on the actual costs faced by parents.
- 5.3 The parent(s) may submit a receipt of costs and demonstrate need if the child has been placed in an unsubsidized home or centre.

6. Loan Ceilings

- 6.1 Arbitrary loan ceilings must not be a barrier to the continuation of post-secondary education.

7. Mobility Rights

- 7.1 Every resident of Canada has the right to study where that person desires without facing either academic or monetary penalty.

8. Appeals Boards

- 8.1 Provincial boards must be expanded to include student representation equal to government/administrative representation.
- 8.2 Appointment of student representatives must be made by provincial students' organizations, where these organizations exist. Where they do not, appointments must be made by local student association, as members dictate.
- 8.3 Must have uniform criteria for granting appeals across Canada, with wide discretion to deal with special cases.

- 8.4 Student representation on the Canada Student Loans Plenary Group must be appointed by the recognized national student organization.
9. Part-time, Graduate and Short Program Students
 - 9.1 Both part-time and graduate students must be eligible to receive student assistance through any student assistance plan.
10. Awareness of the Plan
 - 10.1 The nature, purpose and specifics of this plan must be advertised to the public at large.
 - 10.2 Governments must accept the responsibility for improved delivery of student assistance information to secondary school students.
 - 10.3 In the absence of a change in the independent/dependent status, the table of parental contribution must be included in the information given on the forms.
 - 10.4 The appeals procedure must be advertised, and mentioned on the application form.

Victoria/VVI

Ryerson expressed concern about the credibility of the "if and only if" clause.

UBC pointed out that "if and only if" occurs in only three sections. The other are recommended, not mandatory.

AMENDMENT: to add to the first section the words "at least" between "therefore" and "all" (to read "therefore at least all monies we concede must be returned as bursaries") and in the first section under "WE FURTHER RECOMMEND THAT", to add "at least" before "match" (to reach "the federal government at least match dollar for dollar").

VVI/Ryerson

CARRIED

AMENDMENT: to remove "and only if" under the first resolved.

Alberta/Ryerson

Winnipeg spoke against the amendment out of a realistic fear that the government would rip us off.

Victoria felt we could lose money if this amendment passed.

Carleton spoke in favour of the amendment to allow the CC some bargaining flexibility.

Queen's GSS called the question, seconded by Regina. This carried and the vote was put.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED, with Western SOGS opposed

The Committee thanked those who contributed these reports.

MOTION: BIRT the Campaign Committee Report be moved to the next item on the agenda.

Ryerson/Lambton

Ryerson expressed a wish to deal with the Campaign while everyone was still functional..

U Victoria called the question, with Regina seconded. This carried and the question was put.

CARRIED

c. Campaign Committee Report

Donna Morgan and Cate Kempton gave the report entitled "Exploding the Myth". (See Appendix I)

Donna outlined the massive, crippling cutbacks and student unemployment rates across the country. The situation is critical.

MOTION: to adopt the Campaign Committee Report

U Victoria/Kwantlen

VVI encouraged delegates to get involved with the spirit of the campaign. He noted the importance of goals, campaign and strategy.

Donna went over the time framework from December through to March.

Lethbridge discussed his perceptions of the CMEC conference. He felt the government was not likely to think too heavily on removing fiscal restraint. Immediate issues like EPF and local problems should be addressed.

The committee explained that EPF negotiations were over. Short term and local action should be coalition building. Also, the campaign is extremely flexible.

Victoria also emphasized the campaign's flexibility.

MOTION: to have a Roll Call vote.

Ryerson/U of T GSU

CARRIED

Simon Fraser - YES	Lakehead -
UBC - YES	Algoma -
U Victoria - YES	Carleton - YES
Emily Carr - YES	Trent - YES
Capilano - YES	Queen's AMS - abstention
Langara - YES	U of T SAC - YES
King Edward - YES	York - abstention
VVI - YES	Guelph - YES
Douglas - YES	McMaster - abstention
Kwantlen - YES	Brock -
Cariboo - YES	Nipissing -
Northern Lights -	Ryerson - YES
Northwest Comm. Coll. -	Sir Sanford Fleming -
Kelowna -	Fanshawe - YES
Selkirk - YES	McMaster UGS -
David Thompson - YES	Queen's GSS - NO
East Kootenay -	U of T GSU - YES
U of Alberta - NO	Western SOGS - NO
U of Calgary - NO	Moncton - YES
U of Lethbridge - NO	SMU - YES
Mount Royal -	Dalhousie - YES
Grand Prairie -	NSCAD - YES
U of A Grads -	Cape Breton -
U of C Grads - NO	Kings College - abstention
U of Saskatchewan - YES	UPEI - abstention
U of Regina - YES	Memorial - abstention
Wascana - YES	Lambton - YES
Kelsey -	OCA - YES
Winnipeg - YES	Laurentian - YES
Brandon -	Sir Wilfred Grenfell - YES

CARRIED (32/6/6)

MOTION: WHEREAS CFS overwhelmingly passed a motion of support at the first annual general meeting (in PEI) recognizing the right of Moncton students to organize, in full knowledge of the danger of expulsions, resolving that members and CFS executive send letters of protest, circulate a national petition, and organize other activities, and

WHEREAS the students are still involved with a legal battle which is creating financial hardship, and

WHEREAS CFS-Pacific Region has continued to support Moncton students by donations from individual student organizations, and from the provincial conference amounting to \$1,400, and

WHEREAS CFS-Pacific Region Moncton Support Committee is setting an example that the rest of the country should be following.

TBIRT individual student organizations contribute financial support on the local level and the CFS donate \$500 to the Moncton student defense fund, and

BIFRT individual student organizations and the CFS Central Committee communicate support in the form of telegrams and/or letters, and that the national petition be co-ordinated and circulated across Canada.

Regina/U Victoria

MOTION: to table until after the budget discussion

Ryerson/Lambton

DEFEATED

Ryerson wanted to know where the money would come from.

Victoria pointed out that SFU, who had just joined would be paying their fees in January.

Moncton read a telegram informing the plenary that all of those who had been charged were acquitted. However, their court costs had exceeded \$8,000 and the students were soliciting letters of support and donations. The costs were now \$5,000.

On a final note, those students who had been expelled had their files permanently closed by the University.

MOTION: to delete the \$500 donation clause

Ryerson/McMaster Grads

Steve Quigley pointed out that CFS had no money to give.

U Victoria felt it was important to express solidarity with fellow students.

SFU emphasized the constitutional statements of purpose requiring us to promote and defend the interests of students and student organizations. These have to be more than empty words.

Regina thought it was an insult to donate only \$500 and suggested taking the money from CUTS.

Guelph abhorred the lack of strong support for Moncton but felt that budgetary concerns had to be addressed. She suggested passing the hat.

Waterloo asked for fiscal responsibility. He wanted to know where the money would come from if the motion passed.

MOTION: to extend the speaker's list

Winnipeg/Laurentian

DEFEATED

AMENDMENT CARRIED

Winnipeg spoke against the motion as amended. She didn't see the point of a student movement.

Brenda Cote, Chairperson, announced that she would be giving her per diems to the cause.

U of T GSU proposed an amendment.

AMENDMENT: to add "and/or money" to the second resolved.

U of T GSU/Laurentian

King Edward urged the plenary to vote in favour of this.

Waterloo fully supported the amendment and noted that he will ask his council to donate. He hoped that other councils will do the same.

McMaster UGS guaranteed \$50 from her organization.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

AMENDMENT: to amend by adding:

BIFRT if and when the Langara or U Victoria fees are paid, CFS/FCE contribute \$500 to the Moncton Support Committee.

SFU/Regina

CARRIED, with U of Alberta opposed

AMENDMENT: to amend the second resolved by inserting "be strongly encouraged to" after "individual student organization".

Western SOGS/Queen's GSS

U Victoria called the question, seconded by Queen's GSS. This carried and the vote was put.

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED, with Alberta opposed

Moncton announced that \$201 had been collected.

MOTION: to recess for five minutes.

Emily Carr/Guelph

CARRIED

The meeting reconvened several minutes later.

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE oppose wage controls and associated attacks on free collective bargaining, and

BIFRT CFS/FCE work closely with other organizations to build coalitions to develop such oppositions.

Regina/U Victoria

CARRIED

d. Finance Committee Report

Bob Wright delivered the report of the Finance Committee. Things look good. The major problems are cash flow and non-remission of fees from member institutions.

The motions arising from this committee were:

MOTION: BIRT should CFS wish to increase spending over and above current budget allocations, the additional funds must come from sources not already mentioned in the budget for this fiscal year.

Lambton/U of T GSU

VVI had some problems with the "not-already budgeted" clause.

It was pointed out that certain schools are budgeted for, other prospectives are not. The SFU fees would not make up for the loss of Langara fees.

Winnipeg asked for the implications of this motion on the Moncton motion.

There would be no problem with this.

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the position of financial co-ordinator be a full-time position within the CUPE 1281 sub-local CFS.

Lethbridge/Calgary

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS thank the entire staff and specifically Paul Post for the incredible work done in initiating financial controls in CFS.

Lethbridge/Alberta

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT in subsequent budgets CFS/FCE set aside a percentage of the operating budget to be deemed "emergency fund", and

BIFRT the purpose of this fund be to finance any emergency situation deemed as such by the plenary.

OCA/Guelph

This motion should serve as notice of motion for the Saskatchewan conference.

Calgary spoke in favour of the motion, but would like the percentage defined.

SFU called the question, seconded by Queen's GSS. This carried and the vote was taken.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

e. Organizational Development Committee Report

Since the report had been given earlier, the motions were presented.

MOTION: BIRT the Central Committee establish a sub-committee to examine and evaluate the structure of the Central Committee, giving particular attention to the size, cost-efficiency, and the mandate of the CC.

BIFRT this report include information and recommendations on the following:

1. implementation of a full-time Chair,
2. detailed job description of the position of chair,
3. financial implications of a full-time paid Chair.

BIFRT the structure of this committee be determined by the CC and that a full report be provided to the membership for the Spring 1983 conference.

Regina/Fanshawe

Queen's GSS asked how much work this would entail.

This was so important that it didn't really matter about the amount of work. Josh Hawkes apologized to the CC for the large portion of time this would consume.

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the Chairperson of CFS/FCE receive a monthly wage of \$200 effective November 15, 1982, and BIFRT this wage be reviewed at the National Conference held in the Spring 1983.

Trent/Fanshawe

U of T SAC moved to call the question, seconded by VVI. This carried and the vote was put.

Steve Quigley rose on a point of personal privilege, objecting to the fact that this motion had not gone through the budget committee. He was ruled out of order.

CARRIED

It was explained that this motion had proceeded in consultation with the Chair of the Budget Committee.

The Chair of the Finance Committee, on a point of personal privilege, stated that he told the Organizational Development Cmte the money probably could be found and had noted the contradictory nature of this with the finance motion.

MOTION: to receive the report of the Organizational Development Committee

Regina/SMU

CARRIED

The Chair was asked to rule if this motion, on an honourarium did not conflict with the second. When specific motions pass, opposing general motions, the specific one overrides the general in that instance as an exception.

The Chair asked the plenary to prioritize the agenda.

MOTION: to deal with the Women's Caucus after the Organizational Development Committee

McMaster/Regina

CARRIED

MOTION: to place the Rights Committee after the Women's Caucus

Victoria/-----

DEFEATED THROUGH LACK OF SECOND

MOTION: BIRT the CC be mandated to strike up a committee to investigate the feasibility of a provincial chapter of CFS/FCE in the province of Quebec, and

BIFRT this committee report back to the membership on its progress at the Second Annual General Meeting of the CFS/FCE in Saskatchewan.

Laurentian/Victoria

Ryerson pointed out that Quebec students did not want to affiliate with CFS/FCE. They are a part of their own nation and should be recognized as such.

Concordia explained the need for a united Quebec ideology and politic. She outlined the work of l'ANEQ and REAU. She strongly opposed this motion as one which would destroy the unity of Quebec students working together for their needs as a nation. It would also attack the mandates of l'ANEQ and REAU.

Kwantlen, whose delegate was from Quebec, wanted a report on relations with Quebec.

Queen's GSS added that it is necessary to update our understanding with Quebec.

Brenda Cote, pointed out that she had already submitted a report on what was happening. Corcordia emphasized the fact that the two Quebec organizations are fighting for survival. CFS/FCE should help them in their struggle, not strike a committee which would encourage dissension.

U of T SAC moved to call the question, seconded by UBC. This carried and the vote was taken.

DEFEATED

MOTION: to table the remainder of the report until after the Women's Caucus report.

VVI/U Victoria

AMENDMENT: to amend until after the Constitution Committee Report

U of T SAC/McMaster UGS

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

f. Women's Caucus

MOTION: BIRT Gilaine Funnell be ratified as CFS/FCE
Women's Commissioner

Trent/Guelph

CARRIED

Notice of motion was given for the amended Rights of Women
Students, which read:

The Declaration of the Rights
of
Women Students

All Women have the right to freedom of choice of lifestyle,
employment, and education as full and equal participants in
Canadian society.

All Women have the right to access to post secondary edu-
cation.

All Women have the right to employment, and the right to
equal opportunity to employment with equal pay for work
of equal value.

All Women have a right to access to quality, fully govern-
ment subsidized childcare, provided by adequately trained
and paid childcare workers since access to education is
limited by a lack thereof.

All Women have the right to a financial student assistance
program which meets the needs of full-time, part-time,
and single parent students, the majority of whom are
women, and which does not require dependence on their
parents or spouse.

All Women have the right to concrete programs for re-entry
of women into post secondary education to aid women in over-
coming the barriers of interrupted studies and inadequate
backgrounds.

All Women have the right to academic counselling which
informs women of all educational and employment opportunities
available in order to actively combat streaming of women
into traditional fields.

All Women students have the right to organize as women since women's organizations within the student movement are necessary to actively raise the issues faced by women students, to provide a place for women to develop organizational and political skills and to provide a forum where women can develop a sense of unity and co-operation.

All Women students have the right to a student government which recognizes, promotes and funds a women's organization on campus to facilitate involvement in women's issues.

All Women have the right to an education through non-sexist instruction, textbooks and materials, recognizing that some literature and materials must be viewed relative to their historical or social context but that all instruction, contemporary textbooks and materials should be free of sexual stereotyping and discrimination.

All Women have the right to an educational environment free of advertisement, entertainment programming and/or materials which promote violence against women, sexual stereotyping and/or discrimination.

All Women have the right to government funded women's studies courses in post secondary educational institutions.

All Women have the fundamental right to control their bodies:

- *access to safe, reliable birth control and family planning information and the right of choice in the method,
- *freedom of choice in the matter of abortion,
- *access to quality health services and counselling which meet the needs of women students and respect a woman's control of her body,
- *freedom of expression of sexual orientation,
- *freedom from sexual assault and all other forms of violence.

All Women have the right to an educational environment free of sexual harassment.

All Women have the right to effective, legal and academic grievance procedures recognized by students, faculty and support staff.

All Women have the right to celebrate International Women's Day on campus.

MOTION: to table the remainder of the report until
after the Constitution Committee Report.

U Victoria/Laurentian

CARRIED

g. Constitution Committee

Charmaine Schenstead noted that this report was based on their interpretation of the Constitution and that this could be questioned at any time.

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE ratify the University of Waterloo as a prospective member on the condition that by December 31, 1982, the Ottawa office of the CFS/FCE has received notice by registered mail that the student council at Waterloo has passed a motion to apply for membership in CFS-S and CFS-O, and

BIFRT should such notification not be received by the specified date, the membership of Waterloo will not be considered ratified by this plenary.

VVI/Laurentian

AMENDMENT: to insert "prospective" before "membership" in the second resolved.

Winnipeg/Guelph

CARRIED

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT if U of T SAC loses the CFS referendum and subsequently membership in OFS, it will be up to the CFS-O plenary to decide whether or not they are still eligible to pay the 5% CFS-O fees as prospective members, and

BIFRT at the next National conference a report be made by the Constitutional Committee on the outcome of the CFS-O decision.

Regina/U Victoria

Winnipeg asked what the Constitution said about losing the CFS referendum and still holding prospective membership in CFS-O.

This is constitutionally possible.

Ryerson reiterated the same point.

This was noted in By-law I, Section 2, part B, sub-parts 2 and 3 of the Constitution.

Regina noted that OFS was not yet completely CFS-O.

U of T SAC spoke in favour of the motion.

MOTION: to extend the speaker's list

OCA/Emily Carr

CARRIED

Ryerson clarified what happened at Toronto that led to this motion. OFS membership and CFS-O membership are two different questions.

MAIN MOTION CARRIED

The Constitution Committee gave a ruling on the SUNS question.

SUNS Ruling

The constitution committee cannot deal with the legalities of SUNS' relationship with CFS. However, we can rule on what the constitution would suggest SUNS relationship with CFS would be given the possible outcomes of any negotiations that may occur between SUNS and CFS. The constitution committee recommends the following ruling.

If SUNS wishes to be a chartered provincial component of CFS, then it is incumbent of SUNS to accept the principle and practice of congruent membership, since congruent membership was the intent of the original CFS constitution.

If SUNS does not wish to be a provincial component, given these terms, it then becomes the responsibility of those individual institutions who are still full or prospective members of CFS to choose the CC and board reps, between them, for the province of Nova Scotia. In the case of there being no full or prospective members in the province, the provincial organization is entitled to send one observer to the conference to sit on the Board and Central Committee, as is the status for Quebec, Hence:

MOTION: BIRT CFS adopt the ruling on SUNS as outlined by the constitution committee.

Winnipeg/Guelph

Dalhousie asked how the plenary felt about the entire question of congruent membership. He felt the constitution should possibly be changed if the plenary did not like the SUNS ruling.

CARRIED

MOTION: to amend the agenda to consider the organizational development motion dealing with the CC and staff

U Victoria/Kwantlen

CARRIED

MOTION: WHEREAS the CC on the advice of the staff recently appointed a researcher instead of a translator in direct contradiction to the expressed wish of the plenary at PEI.

TBIRT the CC and staff had no authority to overturn a decision of the plenary in this fashion, and that such a decision given the alternate opinion of the CC could and should have been left to Victoria and that the actions of those responsible were a violation of the democratic principles supposed to be governing CFS and that a full-time translator should still be hired immediately.

Dalhousie/SMU

Dalhousie felt that CC should have been more honest in bringing the problem of conflicting mandates to this conference rather than making the decision themselves. He felt the CC overturning of a mandate was a dangerous precedent.

Carleton suggested the delegates concern themselves with the question of researcher vs. translator. She noted that translation services were adequate and provided for.

UBC spoke against the motion and noted staff responsibility. We have just hired someone.

U of T SAC felt that staff hiring and relations should be left to the CC.

OCA thought the precedent was dangerous, but that the decision was a good one.

Queen's GSS called the question, seconded by Alberta. This carried and the vote was taken.

DEFEATED

MOTION: to extend the time of adjournment by five minutes

UBC/Regina

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT the budgeted \$6,000 and the \$10,000 procured from the Secretary of State for translation services be spent in order to implement bilingual materials and services before the next CFS/FCE conference.

UBC/Regina

Alberta and Lethbridge called the question. This carried and the vote was put.

CARRIED

MOTION: BIRT CFS/FCE dispatch at its earliest convenience a telegram to the Administration of Capilano College urging them to seek a compromise with the faculty association in an effort to avert the impending strike, and

BIFRT CFS/FCE send a letter of support to the faculty association of Capilano College.

Trent/UBC

CARRIED

Charmaine Schenstead made several announcements of thanks. First, she thanked the University of Victoria, hosting institution. She thanked Deborah Poff, conference co-ordinator, and all the conference staff, including Gene Beuthien, as minute-taker and Brian Bedford for the newsletter. Special thanks were given to Brian MacDonald. Thanks to the CFS-O Chair and staff were also extended.

The meeting was adjourned.

APPENDIX I

R E S T R A I N T:

E X P L O D I N G T H E M Y T H

Campaign Committee Report, November 15, 1982

In developing the campaign, the committee has dealt with a very specific task: designing actions to achieve relatively short-term winnable goals within the framework of the long-term policies and overall objectives of the Federation. Specific issues have been selected to target materials and actions around, ones which will spark student participation. Campaigns must address issues which are timely, and which can provoke grass roots mobilization if they are to succeed. It is imperative that we remember each step in the campaign brings us one step closer to our ultimate goal: the establishment of a system of post-secondary education which is accessible to all, which is of high quality, which is nationally planned, and whose role in society is clearly recognized and appreciated.

INTRODUCTION

The two main issues to be addressed by the spring campaign are fiscal restraint in education and student employment. The campaign committee has chosen the issue of fiscal restraint for a number of obvious reasons: monies are being diverted from post-secondary education and directed towards other segments of the economy - corporations, military spending, and so on. It is essential that post secondary education and social services in general must be adequately funded in order to guarantee a truly democratic society, and to stimulate the Canadian economy. By addressing fiscal restraint, we feel that we will be addressing more of a problem than a symptom. Thus we propose a week of information as the main component of the fiscal restraint campaign, in order to publically explode the myths about governmental spending. We hope that this will allow regions and individual campuses to focus on whatever facet(s) of fiscal restraint they believe are most pertinent.

Student unemployment must be addressed because summer jobs are integral to accessibility. Moreover, summer jobs must be seen within the context of a total employment strategy, in order to assure jobs for students after graduation. We suggest an action campaign to get us the jobs we need.

RESTRAINT: EXPLODING THE MYTHS, a program against the hysteria of restraint.

Unless we challenge the assertion that government policy of fiscal restraint is a responsible road to economic recovery, then campaigning for quality education will only imply that we are calling for cuts to other social service programs. Recent surveys show that public support for education remains surprisingly high. What the public and the membership do not realize is the full impact of the current restraint programs on both education and long-term economic recovery.

In such an atmosphere, only a campaign against restraint, in alliance with other organizations in the public sector and with the goal of forcing government to adopt an alternate economic strategy, can provide the basis for winning our ultimate goal.

By stressing a campaign against restraint we will multiply the number of people who will support us, we will overcome the cynicism and apathy of our own membership, and we can share the resources of our alliances.

People believe in restraint because they think that it will provide them with jobs and economic recovery. The reality of restraint is that it is costing thousands of jobs, gutting social services like post secondary education, and jeopardizing recovery. It's time to explode the myth that restraint means reducing the deficit (it means shifting resources from labour-intensive social services to 'economic development'). It's time to explode the myth that tax breaks, corporate subsidies, and capital-intensive projects speed recovery (they lose jobs and waste human resources).

Our long-term goal of continuing program against restraint is to force the government to abandon the policies of restraint. Let's force the government to investigate alternatives. Let's invest in the future, create jobs today, and protect education and other social services.

GOALS

1. The creation of an anti-restraint alliance of students, labour, teachers, and other social service organizations.
2. The maintenance of funding of post-secondary education and other social services.
3. Stopping the rationalization of post-secondary education.
4. Building CFS through the education and mobilization of students.

CAMPAIGN STRATEGIES

1. Seek opportunities for coalition building with national, provincial, and local organizations with similar concerns: teachers and support staff, community, women's, and minority groups, public sector unions, and municipal governments.
2. Educate students about the myths of restraint, and actively involve them in the campaign.
3. Lobby politicians, in oppositions and in power, especially during elections.
4. Direct the national research staff to develop research material on the effects of restraint, and circulate to the campuses.
5. Pressure the federal and provincial governments to change their philosophy towards education and other social services (e.g., the Nova Scotia AIE).

TACTICS

1. myth-exploder leaflet or pamphlet
2. media patrol: letters responding to articles in papers
3. on-campus clippings boards
4. inviting speakers from alliances to council
5. speaking to off-campus organizations
6. leafletting shopping centres, public libraries, theatres, etc.
7. soup kitchens
8. obituary boards
9. BOG Restraint Walking Tour
10. Teach-ins
11. Circulate Richard Balnis report
12. distribute info at employment centres
13. aid coalitions in their work
14. work with municipal government against restraint

Suggested Slogans: "Extra Strength Restraint: A Hard
Pill to Swallow"
"Short Term Pain for Long Term Pain"
"Restraint Isn't Working"

EMPLOYMENT: WE NEED JOBS

As we all know, unemployment in general and student unemployment in particular, are increasing at horrifying rates. Therefore it seems incumbent upon the Federation to be fighting for jobs.

Thus we propose that the second half of the CFS spring campaign will be aimed at creating jobs. We hope to achieve the following goals: increased funding for summer jobs, in order to achieve accessibility; a total employment strategy for Canada; and saving jobs in post-secondary education in order to protect the quality of our education.

We see that unemployment is a "winnable" issue because of the tremendous potential for expanding our power base to fight this battle. We must start now to build coalitions and alliances with other groups who are similarly concerned with unemployment. Specifically, we propose forming coalitions at the national, provincial and local levels - with CAUT, AUCC, CUEW, CUPE, CTF, social service advocacy groups, and local unions.

STRATEGY

The employment campaign must be an action campaign: action not only for education-sector jobs, but action for employment in general. This is important not only to guarantee jobs for students once they graduate, but also to reciprocate the help given us by our allies.

TACTICS

1. guerilla theatre, perhaps using local labour leaders as actors
2. teach-ins on unemployment, again using the resources of local unions and student organizations together
3. information pickets on job creation, unemployment statistics, and the need for direct job creation programs to be held in public places such as Chambers of Commerce, shopping centres and public buildings

4. student councils could set up job counselling services to advise students about summer employment programs which exist, capital advances for students setting up businesses, and government subsidies available to those employers who hire students.
5. student councils have also been successful in getting summer work contracts (egg., landscaping, maintenance, lifeguarding, and tree plantation projects) and then hiring students to fill these contracts.
6. employment surveys to be used as the basis for lobbying
7. lobbying governments for make-work projects, quality job programs which pay students enough to allow them to return to school, full employment strategies
8. mock soup kitchens to publicize the depression levels of unemployment

Suggested Slogan: "We Need Jobs"

Student Assistance

- lobby gov't around tax/ded/bursary prog.
 - circulate campus media package to get credit for bursary program
-

Alliances

- build alliances with: CUPE, CUPW, CUEW, CAUT, AUCC, Social service groups, Chamber of commerce, etc.
-

A L L I A N C E W O R K C O N T I N U E S

Restraint

- (start research)
 - fiscal restraint as a shift rather than lack of funds
 - credible economic bodies arguments against restraint
 - work on shift in tax revenues
 - local research on impact of local inst. on local economy
 - prepare materials
-

- "exploding the myth" info campaign begins
- materials sent out

- "exploding the myth" week of info Feb 1-4

Employment

- research on jobs/\$, unemployment rates, monitor employment progs.

- lobby for job creation on provincial and nat'l level
- set up employment bureau/service

- action campaign on employment begins mid-month
- materials out
- Week of Mobilization
WE NEED JOBS Mar 7-11